

### Probing Supersymmetry in B meson decays facing the recent LHC data 26 August 2013

Kei Yamamoto Niiga

Niigata University in JAPAN



Collaborated with Y.Shimizu(Max Planck Institute), M.Tanimoto (Niigata Univ.)

Y.Shimizu, M.Tanimoto, K.Y. [arXiv: 1307.0374]

### Introduction

#### The LHCb collaboration has reported new data of the CP violation of Bs meson.

Time dependent CP asymmetry in  $B^0_s \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^-$ 

 $\phi_s(\text{Exp}) = 0.07 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.01$ 

 $\phi_s({
m SM}) = -0.0363 \pm 0.0017$  [The CKMfitter,2011]

Asymmetry  $= \sin \phi_s$ 

Time dependent CP asymmetry in  $\;B_s 
ightarrow \phi \phi$ 



[LHCb, Phys.Rev.Lett.110, 241802 (2013)]

 $\phi_s^{\phi\phi}(\text{Exp}) = [-2.46, -0.78] \text{rad} (68\% \text{C.L.})$  $\phi_s^{\phi\phi}(\text{SM}) \circ(\lambda^4)$ 

Semi-leptonic asymmetry  $a_{sl}^s$  [EPS conference 2013]

$$a_{sl}^{s}(\text{Exp}) = (-0.06 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.36)\%$$
  
 $a_{sl}^{s}(\text{SM}) = (0.0019 \pm 0.0003)\%$  [A.Lenz,2012]



### Introduction

#### Searching for SUSY particle at LHC

The SUSY signals have not been observed yet.

The lower bounds for the susy-particle masses have pushed up to TeV scale.





Indirect search for SUSY is important.

B physics can become the indirect search for SUSY. We discuss the sensitivity of the SUSY contribution to B decays.

### Setup

#### Natural SUSY scenario [A.G.Cohen, D.B.Kaplan and A.E.Nelson, PLB 388 (1996) 588]

The first and second family squarks are very heavy, at O(10)TeV, on the other hand, the third family squark masses are at O(1)TeV.

 $m_{\tilde{q}_{1,2}} = \mathcal{O}(10) \text{ TeV} \quad m_{\tilde{b}_1} = 1 \text{ TeV}, m_{\tilde{b}_2} = 1.1 \text{ TeV} \quad m_{\tilde{g}} = 2 \text{ TeV}$ 

The gluino-squark-quark interaction

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int}(\tilde{g}q\tilde{q}) = -i\sqrt{2}g_s \sum_{\{q\}} \widetilde{q}_i^*(T^a)\overline{\widetilde{G}^a} \left[ (\Gamma_{GL}^{(q)})_{ij} \boldsymbol{L} + (\Gamma_{GR}^{(q)})_{ij} \boldsymbol{R} \right] q_j + \text{h.c.}$$

Mixing matrix We work in the basis of mass eigenstate.

$$\Gamma_{GL}^{(d)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \delta_{13}^{dL} c_{\theta} & 0 & 0 & -\delta_{13}^{dL} s_{\theta} e^{i\phi} \\ 0 & 1 & \delta_{23}^{dL} c_{\theta} & 0 & 0 & -\delta_{23}^{dL} s_{\theta} e^{i\phi} \\ -\delta_{13}^{dL*} & -\delta_{23}^{dL*} & c_{\theta} & 0 & 0 & -s_{\theta} e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_L \\ s_L \\ b_L \end{pmatrix} \\ \Gamma_{GR}^{(d)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \delta_{13}^{dR} s_{\theta} e^{-i\phi} & 1 & 0 & \delta_{13}^{dR} c_{\theta} \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_{23}^{dR} s_{\theta} e^{-i\phi} & 0 & 1 & \delta_{23}^{dR} c_{\theta} \\ 0 & 0 & s_{\theta} e^{-i\phi} & -\delta_{13}^{dR*} & -\delta_{23}^{dR*} & c_{\theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_R \\ s_R \\ b_R \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{d_1}{d_1} \tilde{s}_1 & \tilde{b}_1 & \tilde{d}_2 & \tilde{s}_2 & \tilde{b}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We assume the mixing parameters  $\delta_{13}^{dL}, \delta_{23}^{dL}, \delta_{13}^{dR}, \delta_{23}^{dR}$  as  $|\delta_{13}^{dL}| = |\delta_{13}^{dR}|, |\delta_{23}^{dL}| = |\delta_{23}^{dR}|$ . We assume the mixing angle  $\theta = 10^{\circ} \sim 35^{\circ}$ .

(Mixing angle between the left-handed sbottom and the right-handed one.)











### $S_{J/\psi K_s}$ - $|\mathbf{E}_{K}|$ tension

[Andrzej J. Buras and Diego Guadagnoli, 2008] [Andrzej J. Buras, 2011]

 $|\epsilon_K|$  is given in terms of  $\sin(2\beta)$  because there is only one CP violating phase in the SM.

**SM**  $|\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}_{K}| \propto \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{K} |V_{cb}|^{4} \sin(2\beta s_{M})$   $S_{J/\psi K_{s}} = \sin(2\beta s_{M}) = \sin(2\beta s_{M})$ 

**Вк parameter** 

$$B_{K} = \frac{\langle \bar{K}^{0} | O^{\Delta S = 2} | K^{0} \rangle}{\frac{8}{3} \langle \bar{K}^{0} | A_{\mu} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | A^{\mu} | K^{0} \rangle} \qquad \hat{B}_{K} \equiv [\alpha_{\rm s}(\mu)]^{-2/9} \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha_{\rm s}(\mu)}{4\pi} J_{3} \right] B_{K}(\mu)$$

**Recent lattice work** 

[C.Aubin, et all ,2009]

$$\hat{B}_K = 0.73 \pm 0.03$$

### $S_{J/\psi K_s}$ - $| E_{\kappa} |$ tension

76 [Andrzej J. Buras and Diego Guadagnoli ,2008] [Andrzej J. Buras ,2011]

 $|\epsilon_K|$  is given in terms of  $\sin(2\beta)$  because there is only one CP violating phase in the SM.



It is noticed that the consistency between the SM prediction and the experimental data in  $\sin(2\beta)$  and  $|\epsilon_K^{\rm SM}|/\hat{B}_K$  is marginal.

We will show that this tension is understood by taking account of the SUSY box diagram through the gluino-sbottom-quark interaction.

### **Constraints**

### $\Delta F=2 \text{ process}$ : K-K, B-B, Bs-Bs mixing $|\epsilon_K| \Delta M_d \Delta M_s$



 $\Delta F=0$  process : Chromo EDM of strange quark  $|d_{\circ}^{C}|$ 



The gluino-sbottom-quark interaction

[K.Fuyuto, J.Hisano and N.Nagata, Phys.Rev.D 87 (2013)]

 $e|d_s^C| < 0.5 \times 10^{-25}$ ecm



### **Constraints**

 $\Delta F=1$  process : Time dependent CP asymmetry  $S_{J/\psi K_S}$   $S_{J/\psi \phi}$ 





SM

SM

The dispersive part of mixing  $M_{12}^q = M_{12}^{q,\rm SM} + M_{12}^{q,\rm SUSY}$  $= M_{12}^{q,\mathrm{SM}} (1 + h_q e^{2i\sigma_q})$ (q = d, s)

$$\sum_{p=\sqrt{M_{12}} - \sqrt{M_{12}^{SM}} \sqrt{\frac{1 + h_d e^{2i\sigma_d}}{1 + h_d e^{2i\sigma_d}}}$$

$$\sum_{J/\psi K_S = \sin(2\beta_{SM}) \rightarrow \sin(2\beta_{SM} + \operatorname{Arg}(1 + h_d e^{2i\sigma_d}))$$

$$S_{J/\psi\phi} = \sin(-2\beta_{sSM}) \rightarrow \sin(-2\beta_{sSM} + \operatorname{Arg}(1 + h_s e^{2i\sigma_s}))$$

$$\sum_{J/\psi\phi} S_{J/\psi\phi} \longrightarrow \delta_{23}^d$$

## **Numerical results**

9/16

#### Allowed region of $|\delta_{13}|$ , $|\delta_{23}|$



## **Numerical results**



## **Numerical results**







#### Time dependent CP asymmetry in $B_q \rightarrow f$

We focus on a few modes which decay at 1-loop level in SM , because it is expected that SUSY contribution will be enhanced.



Time dependent CP asymmetry in  $\; {B_d} 
ightarrow \phi K_S, \eta^{'} K^0 \;$ 

**SM prediction** 

$$S_{J/\psi K_S} \simeq S_{\phi K_S, \eta' K_S}$$

Experimental results [HFAG,2012]

$$S_{J/\psi K_S} = 0.679 \pm 0.020$$
  

$$S_{\phi K_S} = 0.74^{+0.11}_{-0.13}$$
  

$$S_{\eta' K^0} = 0.59 \pm 0.07$$



#### **SUSY contribution**

$$A^{SUSY} \left( \bar{B}_{d} \to \phi K_{s} \right) \propto C_{8G}^{\tilde{g}} (m_{b}) + \tilde{C}_{8G}^{\tilde{g}} (m_{b})$$

$$A^{SUSY} (\bar{B}_{d} \to \eta' K_{s}) \propto C_{8G}^{\tilde{g}} (m_{b}) - \tilde{C}_{8G}^{\tilde{g}} (m_{b})$$

$$\tilde{C} : C(L \Leftrightarrow R)$$

$$P = C_{8G}^{\tilde{g}} (m_{b}) + \tilde{C}_{8G}^{\tilde{g}} (m_{b})$$

Difference of sign comes from parity of final state. [M.Endo, S.Mishima and M.Yamaguchi, PLB 609 (2005)]





Time dependent CP asymmetry in  $~B_s o \phi \phi, \eta' \phi$ 

#### **SM prediction**

$$S_{J/\psi\phi} = \sin \phi_s$$
  
 $\phi_s(\mathrm{SM}) = -0.0363 \pm 0.001$   
 $S_{\phi\phi,\eta'\phi} \simeq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$ 

7

#### **Experimental results**







13/<sub>16</sub>

#### **Our prediction**





Time dependent CP asymmetry in  $\ B_s o \phi \phi, \eta' \phi$ 

#### **SM prediction**

$$S_{J/\psi\phi} = \sin \phi_s$$
  

$$\phi_s(SM) = -0.0363 \pm 0.0017$$
  

$$S_{\phi\phi,\eta'\phi} \simeq \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$$

#### **Experimental results**





 $B_s$ 

SJING Depends on  $U_{23}$  **Our prediction** 

13/16

0.4

 $S_{\phi\eta'}$ 

0.6

 $S_{K^0ar{K}^0}$ 

Time dependent CP asymmetry in  $B_d o K^0 ar{K}^0$ 



#### **Our prediction**



SM prediction [A.K.Giri and R.Mohanta, JHEP 0411 (2004) 084]

 $S_{K^0\bar{K}^0}({\rm SM})\simeq 0.06 \label{eq:SM}$  with pQCD

Experimental result [PDG 2012]

$$S_{K^0\bar{K}^0}(\exp) = -0.8 \pm 0.5$$

<sup>14</sup>/16

 $a^d_{sl}, a^s_{sl}$ 

CP asymmetry in the semileptonic decay  $\overline{B}_q^0 \Longrightarrow B_q^0 \to \mu^+ X$ 

$$a_{sl}^{q} \equiv \frac{\Gamma\left(\overline{B}_{q}^{0} \to \mu^{+}X\right) - \Gamma\left(B_{q}^{0} \to \mu^{-}X\right)}{\Gamma\left(\overline{B}_{q}^{0} \to \mu^{+}X\right) + \Gamma\left(B_{q}^{0} \to \mu^{-}X\right)}$$
$$= \frac{1 - \left|\frac{p}{q}\right|^{4}}{1 + \left|\frac{p}{q}\right|^{4}} = -\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\Gamma_{12}}{M_{12}}\right)$$

#### **SM predictions**

[A.Lenz and U.Nierste, arXiv:1102.4274 [hep -ph]]

$$a_{sl}^{s,\text{SM}} = (1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$$
  
 $a_{sl}^{d\text{SM}} = -(4.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$ 

#### Experimental results [PDG 2012]

$$a_{sl}^s = (-0.24 \pm 0.54 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-2}$$
  
 $a_{sl}^d = (-0.3 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-3}$ 





### Summary

We have discussed the sensitivity of the gluino-sbottom-quark interaction to the CP violating phenomena of the K,  $B^0$  and  $B_s$  mesons.

We take the natural SUSY scenario, which is consistent with the experimental situation of LHC.

 $m_{\tilde{q}_{1,2}} = \mathcal{O}(10) \text{ TeV}, \quad m_{\tilde{b}_1} = 1 \text{ TeV}, m_{\tilde{b}_2} = 1.1 \text{ TeV}, \quad m_{\tilde{g}} = 2 \text{ TeV}$ 

The relevant constraints :  $|\epsilon_K|$ ,  $\Delta M_d$ ,  $\Delta M_s$ ,  $S_{J/\psi K_S}$ ,  $S_{J/\psi \phi}$ ,  $BR(b \to s\gamma)$ ,  $|d_s^C|$ 

→ The allowed region of the mixing parameter

$$\begin{split} |\delta_{13}^{dL(dR)}| &= 0 \sim 0.01 \qquad |\delta_{23}^{dL(dR)}| = 0 \sim 0.04 \\ \text{We predict } S_{\phi K_S}, S_{\eta' K^0}, \quad S_{\phi \phi}, S_{\eta' \phi}, \quad S_{K^0 \bar{K}^0}, \quad a_{sl}^d, a_{sl}^s \\ \text{SUSY contributions to } S_{\phi K_S}, S_{\eta' K^0} \quad \text{is tiny,} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -0.1 &\leq S_{\phi\phi} \leq 0.2 \quad -0.1 \leq S_{\phi\eta'} \leq 0.2 \\ -0.4 &\leq S_{K^0\bar{K}^0} \leq 0.3 \\ a^d_{sl} &= -0.0017 \sim 0.002 \quad a^s_{sl} = -0.001 \sim 0.001 \end{aligned}$$

#### Our model will be tested by Belle II as well as LHCb.

Buckup

#### Mixing angle $\, heta \,$

Mass matrix

$$\tilde{m}_{\tilde{q}\text{dia}}^2 = \Gamma_G^{(q)} M_{\tilde{q}}^2 \Gamma_G^{(q)\dagger}$$

$$M_{\tilde{q}}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\tilde{d}_L}^2 & m_b(A_b - \mu \tan\beta) \\ m_b(A_b - \mu \tan\beta) & m_{\tilde{d}_R}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 Third family

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{2m_b(A_b - \mu \tan\beta)}{m_{\tilde{d}_L}^2 - m_{\tilde{d}_R}^2}$$

**ΔF=1** 

$$H_{eff} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \sum_{q'=u,c} V_{q'b} V_{q'q}^* \sum_{i=1,2} C_i O_i^{(q')} - V_{tb} V_{tq}^* \sum_{i=3-6,7\gamma,8G} \left( C_i O_i + \widetilde{C}_i \widetilde{O}_i \right) \right],$$

$$\begin{split} O_1^{(q')} &= (\bar{q}_{\alpha}\gamma_{\mu}P_Lq'_{\beta})(\bar{q}'_{\beta}\gamma^{\mu}P_Lb_{\alpha}), \qquad O_2^{(q')} = (\bar{q}_{\alpha}\gamma_{\mu}P_Lq'_{\alpha})(\bar{q}'_{\beta}\gamma^{\mu}P_Lb_{\beta}), \\ O_3 &= (\bar{q}_{\alpha}\gamma_{\mu}P_Lb_{\alpha})\sum_Q (\bar{Q}_{\beta}\gamma^{\mu}P_LQ_{\beta}), \qquad O_4 = (\bar{q}_{\alpha}\gamma_{\mu}P_Lb_{\beta})\sum_Q (\bar{Q}_{\beta}\gamma^{\mu}P_LQ_{\alpha}), \\ O_5 &= (\bar{q}_{\alpha}\gamma_{\mu}P_Lb_{\alpha})\sum_Q (\bar{Q}_{\beta}\gamma^{\mu}P_RQ_{\beta}), \qquad O_6 = (\bar{q}_{\alpha}\gamma_{\mu}P_Lb_{\beta})\sum_Q (\bar{Q}_{\beta}\gamma^{\mu}P_RQ_{\alpha}), \\ O_{7\gamma} &= \frac{e}{16\pi^2}m_b\bar{q}_{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Rb_{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu}, \qquad O_{8G} = \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2}m_b\bar{q}_{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_RT^a_{\alpha\beta}b_{\beta}G^a_{\mu\nu}, \end{split}$$

**ΔF=1** 

Most dominant term comes from  $C_{8G}^{\tilde{g}}$  .

$$A^{SUSY}\left(\bar{B}_d \to \phi K_s\right) \propto C_{8G}^{\tilde{g}}\left(m_b\right) + \tilde{C}_{8G}^{\tilde{g}}\left(m_b\right)$$

 $\tilde{C}: C(L \Leftrightarrow R)$ 

$$\begin{split} C^{\tilde{g}}_{8G}(m_{\tilde{g}}) &= \frac{8}{3} \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha_{s}\pi}{2G_{F}V_{tb}V_{tq}^{*}} \left[ \underbrace{\left( \Gamma^{(d)}_{GL} \right)_{33}}_{m_{d_{3}}^{2}} \left\{ \left( \Gamma^{(d)}_{GL} \right)_{33} \left( -\frac{9}{8}F_{1}(x_{\tilde{g}}^{3}) - \frac{1}{8}F_{2}(x_{\tilde{g}}^{3}) \right) \right\} \quad x = \frac{m_{\tilde{g}}^{2}}{m_{\tilde{d}_{1}}^{2}} \\ \delta^{dL}_{23} s_{\theta}c_{\theta}e^{-i\phi} &+ \frac{m_{\tilde{g}}}{m_{b}} \left( \Gamma^{(d)}_{GR} \right)_{33} \left( -\frac{9}{8}F_{3}(x_{\tilde{g}}^{3}) - \frac{1}{8}F_{4}(x_{\tilde{g}}^{3}) \right) \right\} \\ &+ \underbrace{\left( \Gamma^{(d)}_{GL} \right)_{k\theta}}_{m_{\tilde{d}_{6}}^{2}} \left\{ \left( \Gamma^{(d)}_{GL} \right)_{36} \left( -\frac{9}{8}F_{1}(x_{\tilde{g}}^{6}) - \frac{1}{8}F_{2}(x_{\tilde{g}}^{6}) \right) \\ &- \delta^{dL}_{23} s_{\theta}c_{\theta}e^{-i\phi} &+ \frac{m_{\tilde{g}}}{m_{b}} \left( \Gamma^{(d)}_{GR} \right)_{6} \left( -\frac{9}{8}F_{3}(x_{\tilde{g}}^{6}) - \frac{1}{8}F_{4}(x_{\tilde{g}}^{6}) \right) \right\} \right], \end{split}$$

If two masses  $m_{\tilde{b}_1}, m_{\tilde{b}_2}$  degenerate, we have complete cancellation.  $C^{\tilde{g}}_{8G}$  is reduced by this cancellation.

#### **Factorization relation**

$$\langle O_3 \rangle = \langle O_4 \rangle = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{N_c} \right) \langle O_5 \rangle, \quad \langle O_6 \rangle = \frac{1}{N_c} \langle O_5 \rangle,$$
$$\langle O_{8G} \rangle = \frac{\alpha_s(m_b)}{8\pi} \left( -\frac{2m_b}{\sqrt{\langle q^2 \rangle}} \right) \left( \langle O_4 \rangle + \langle O_6 \rangle - \frac{1}{N_c} (\langle O_3 \rangle + \langle O_5 \rangle) \right),$$

#### The effect of cEDM of the strange quark



#### **Input parameters**

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_s(M_Z) &= 0.1184 \ [34] \\ m_c(m_c) &= 1.275 \ \text{GeV} \ [34] \\ m_t(m_c) &= 1.275 \ \text{GeV} \ (MS) \ [34] \\ M_{B_s} &= 5.36677(24) \ \text{GeV} \ [34] \\ \Delta M_s &= (116.942 \pm 0.1564) \times 10^{-13} \ \text{GeV} \ [7] \\ \Delta M_d &= (3.337 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-13} \ \text{GeV} \ [34] \\ f_{B_s} &= (233 \pm 10) \ \text{MeV} \ [47] \\ f_{B_s}/f_{B^0} &= 1.200 \pm 0.02 \ [47] \\ \xi_s &= 1.21(6) \ [26] \\ \lambda &= 0.2255(7) \ [34] \\ |V_{cb}| &= (4.12 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-2} \ [47] \\ \eta_{cc} &= 1.43(23) \ [26] \\ \eta_{ct} &= 0.47(4) \ [26] \\ \eta_{tt} &= 0.5765(65) \ [26] \\ f_K &= (156.1 \pm 1.1) \ \text{MeV} \ [34] \\ \kappa_\epsilon &= 0.92(2) \ [26] \end{aligned}$$

### The unitarity triangle



(Exclusive decay & Inclusive decay)

