





## **SUSY in Hadronic Final States at CMS**

Joshua Thompson, Cornell University 26 Aug 2013 SUSY2013 Conference Trieste, Italy





## SUSY production at the LHC

- Gluino and squark production processes have the highest cross-sections at the LHC
- Searches for these processes thus have the greatest mass reach
- Searches for the highest mass particles got the biggest boost from the 7→8 TeV increase
  - For 1400 GeV gluinos:
    - ~1 produced in 5 fb<sup>-1</sup> @ 7 TeV
    - ~17 produced in 20 fb<sup>-1</sup> @ 8 TeV

![](_page_1_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Hadronic SUSY signatures

![](_page_2_Picture_2.jpeg)

> Heavy parent particles decay, often via a cascade, into energetic quarks and the lightest SUSY particle ( $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ )

![](_page_2_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Classic SUSY signature:
  - Large total jet energy
  - Large missing energy from pair of undetected  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$
- Inspired by natural SUSY, gluino pairproduction with decavs:

$$\tilde{g} \to b\tilde{b}_1 \to b\bar{b}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$$

$$\tilde{g} \rightarrow t \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \bar{t} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$$

- 4 b jets
- Large jet multiplicity from W→qq'

![](_page_3_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Major backgrounds

- Signature: jets+missing transverse energy
  - And optionally, b-tags

![](_page_3_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Z+jets, where  $Z \rightarrow vv$ 
  - $\blacktriangleright \quad \text{MET from undetected } \nu$
  - "Irreducible" although production much smaller for Z+bb or Z+many jets

![](_page_3_Picture_8.jpeg)

- ► W+jets, where  $W \rightarrow I_V$ 
  - $\blacktriangleright \quad \text{MET from } \nu$
  - Reduced by rejecting events with I
  - W+bb, W+many jets production much smaller

![](_page_3_Picture_13.jpeg)

- QCD multijet
  - Huge production cross-section
  - MET arises from mismeasured jets or semileptonic b decays

![](_page_3_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Search in Jets+MHT

- Generic search in the Jets+Missing energy signature
- Search performed in bins of 3 variables that discriminate between SM background and SUSY:
  - Missing transverse energy: MHT =  $|\Sigma_{jets} p_T|$
  - Scalar sum of jet energy:  $H_T = \Sigma_{jets} |p_T|$
  - Jet multiplicity (for jets with  $p_T > 50$  GeV)
    - Search bins: n<sub>jets</sub> = [3-5], [6-7], [>=8]
- Binned approach provides sensitivity to a variety of signal topologies and mass splittings
- Other selection details:
  - $\Delta \phi$ (jet, MHT) > 0.5, 0.5, 0.3 for lead 3 jets
    - Rejects QCD events with fake MHT from mismeasured jets
  - Reject events with an isolated e or  $\mu$  (p<sub>T</sub>>10 GeV)
    - Reject W+jets and ttbar backgrounds

![](_page_4_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_16.jpeg)

⊭<sub>T</sub> [GeV]

![](_page_4_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **Background estimation overview**

- General philosophy:
  - Avoid reliance on Monte Carlo simulation
  - Instead derive background estimates from data control samples
- Challenges:
  - Limited control sample data at high H<sub>T</sub>, MHT, and jet multiplicity
- Backgrounds and control samples:
  - >  $Z \rightarrow vv: \gamma$ +jets control sample
  - W+jets/ttbar: μ+jets control sample
  - QCD multijets: low MHT events

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

# $Z \rightarrow vv$ background estimation

- Use similarity between high  $p_T \gamma$ +jets events and Z+jets events to predict Z $\rightarrow vv$  background
- Treat photon as if it was undetected, recalculate MHT
  - Correct for:
    - Photon acceptance and efficiency
    - Cross-section ratio:  $\sigma(Z+jets) / \sigma(\gamma+jets)$ 
      - Ratio measured as a function of H<sub>T</sub>, MHT, n jets in MC
      - N jets dependence corrected using a  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  data control sample

jets

![](_page_6_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_11.jpeg)

Ζ/γ

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

8

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

# W/ttbar background estimation

Use  $\mu$  data control samples to model event kinematics and hadronic activity

![](_page_7_Figure_5.jpeg)

Prediction of lost e/µ background

- Use MC to derive factors for lepton acceptance, reconstruction, and isolation efficiencies
  - Efficiencies checked in  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  data sample
- Using these factors and control sample, get prediction of  $W \rightarrow I_V$ , where  $I=e,\mu,\tau \rightarrow e,\mu$

![](_page_7_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

• Observed data compatible with background predictions CMS Preliminary, L = 19.5 fb<sup>-1</sup>,  $\sqrt{s}$  = 8 TeV

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Interpretation of Jets+MHT search

- Interpret results in terms of Simplified Models, which include only one decay possibility
- To simplify things further, for gluino decays via a squark, we (often) model the decay as a direct  $\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$  decay, placing the squark off-shell
  - Reduces the number of model parameters to 2!

![](_page_9_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **Squark-production interpretation**

### Simplified model with squark pair production

- Limit of ~800 GeV for light LSP in the case of production of degenerate q̃<sub>L</sub> + q̃<sub>R</sub> (ũ, d̃, š, č)
- Limit of ~500 GeV for the one flavor case with  $\tilde{q}_L + \tilde{q}_R$

See F. Golf's talk for pMSSM interpretation

![](_page_10_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

J. Thompson, Cornell 26 Aug 2013

10<sup>-1</sup>

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Search in Jets+MET+b-tag

CMS,  $L = 19.4 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ,  $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ 

 $pp \to \widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}, \ \widetilde{g} \to b \ \overline{b} \ \widetilde{\chi}^0_{_{+}} \ \text{NLO+NLL exclusion}$ 

- Gluino search in jets+MET+b-tag signature
  - Analysis binned in H<sub>T</sub>, MET, number of b-tags
  - Includes  $\geq$ 3b bin, which cuts down on ttbar background
- Backgrounds determined in a 3-d binned fit to control samples and search sample
  - Background shapes derived from data control samples

 $\blacksquare$ Observed ± 1 $\sigma_{\text{theory}}$ 

Expected ±  $1\sigma_{experiment}$ 

Data consistent with background predictions

800

600

400

200

400

600

800

1000

1200

 $m_{\tilde{a}}$  (GeV)

1400

### 19.4 fb<sup>-1</sup>: Published as PLB 725, 243 (2013)

![](_page_12_Figure_11.jpeg)

See F. Golf's talk

for pMSSM

interpretation

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

• "Razor" kinematic variables: <sup>C. Rogan, arXiv:1006.2727</sup>  $M_R \equiv \sqrt{(p_{j_1} + p_{j_2})^2 - (p_z^{j_1} + p_z^{j_2})^2}$  and  $R \equiv \frac{M_T^R}{M_R}$ where  $M_T^R \equiv \sqrt{\frac{E_T^{miss}(p_T^{j_1} + p_T^{j_2}) - \vec{E}_T^{miss} \cdot (\vec{p}_T^{j_1} + \vec{p}_T^{j_2})}{2}}$ 

- Variables are defined in terms of a dijet topology
  - For higher jet multiplicity, cluster jets into two "megajets"

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Razor analysis background estimate

Backgrounds are parameterized using 2-d exponential:

 $f_{SM}(M_R, R^2) = [b(M_R - M_R^0)^{1/n} (R^2 - R_0^2)^{1/n} - 1]e^{-bn(M_R - M_R^0)^{1/n} (R^2 - R_0^2)^{1/n}}$ 

- Each "box" is analyzed independently
  - Simultaneous fit across b-tag multiplicity within a "box"
  - ▶ =2b and  $\geq$ 3b bins constrained to share the same background shape

### • Two types of fits:

- Sideband fit to red/blue regions, with extrapolation to white region
  - Better for theorist reinterpretation
- Full fit to whole plane
  - used in setting CL<sub>s</sub> limits

![](_page_15_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

17

## **Example fit results**

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

Projections of the sideband fit, extrapolated to the full analysis region, for the multijet box

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Data in agreement with predicted background

 P-values quantifying agreement of background model and data, translated into number of sigma

![](_page_16_Figure_8.jpeg)

J. Thompson, Cornell 26 Aug 2013

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Broad family of hadronic SUSY searches, most with the full LHC dataset
  - Multiple kinematic variables (MET/MHT, Razor,  $\alpha_T$ )
  - Multiple background techniques with different systematics
  - Targeted at natural SUSY as well as more generic Jets+MET scenarios
- Observations are consistent with Standard Model background predictions
  - Limits on simplified topologies past 1.3 TeV for gluinos
- Other CMS parallel talks:
  - ► This session: Marco Andrea Buchmann (1-2 leptons), Andrea Gozzelino (≥3 leptons)
  - Tomorrow: David Morse (photons), Frank Golf (further details on interpretation)
  - Thursday: Mariarosaria D'Alfonso (3<sup>rd</sup> generation squarks), Ben Hooberman (EWKino)
  - Friday: Matthew Walker (RPV)

All results available from <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view</u>

/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSUS

![](_page_18_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Full results table for jets+MHT search

### Predicted event yields compared to observation

	Selection		$Z \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}$	tt/W	tī/W	OCD	Total	Obs.
Niote	Нт	Ит	from $\gamma$ +jets	$\rightarrow e, u+X$	$\rightarrow \tau_{\rm b} + X$	2	background	data
3-5	500-800	200-300	$1821.3 \pm 326.5$	$2210.7 \pm 447.8$	$1683.7 \pm 171.4$	$307.4 \pm 219.4$	$6023.1 \pm 620.2$	6159
3-5	500-800	300-450	993.6±177.9	660.1±133.3	$591.9 \pm 62.5$	$34.5 \pm 23.8$	$2280.0 \pm 232.1$	2305
3-5	500-800	450-600	$273.2 \pm 51.1$	$77.3 \pm 17.9$	$67.6 \pm 9.5$	$1.3 \pm 1.5$	$419.5 \pm 55.0$	454
3-5	500-800	> 600	$42.0 \pm 8.7$	$9.5 \pm 4.0$	$6.0 \pm 1.9$	$0.1 \pm 0.3$	$57.6 \pm 9.7$	62
3-5	800-1000	200-300	$215.8 \pm 40.0$	$277.5 \pm 62.4$	$191.6 \pm 23.2$	$91.7 \pm 65.5$	776.7±101.6	808
3-5	800-1000	300-450	$124.1 \pm 23.7$	$112.8 \pm 26.9$	$83.3 \pm 11.2$	$9.9 \pm 7.4$	$330.1 \pm 38.3$	305
3-5	800-1000	450-600	$46.9 \pm 9.8$	$36.1 \pm 9.9$	$23.6 \pm 3.9$	$0.8 \pm 1.3$	$107.5 \pm 14.5$	124
3-5	800-1000	> 600	$35.3 \pm 7.5$	$9.0 \pm 3.7$	$11.4 \pm 3.2$	$0.1\pm0.4$	$55.8 \pm 9.0$	52
3-5	1000-1250	200-300	$76.3 \pm 14.8$	$103.5 \pm 25.9$	$66.8 \pm 10.0$	$59.0 \pm 24.7$	$305.6 \pm 40.1$	335
3-5	1000-1250	300-450	$39.3 \pm 8.2$	$52.4 \pm 13.6$	$35.7 \pm 6.2$	$5.1 \pm 2.7$	$132.6 \pm 17.3$	129
3-5	1000-1250	450-600	$18.1 \pm 4.4$	$6.9 \pm 3.2$	$6.6 \pm 2.1$	$0.5 \pm 0.7$	$32.1 \pm 5.9$	34
3-5	1000-1250	> 600	$17.8 \pm 4.3$	$2.4 \pm 1.8$	$2.5 \pm 1.0$	$0.1 \pm 0.3$	$22.8 \pm 4.7$	32
3-5	1250-1500	200-300	$25.3 \pm 5.5$	$31.0 \pm 9.5$	$22.2 \pm 3.9$	$31.2 \pm 13.1$	$109.7 \pm 17.5$	98
3-5	1250-1500	300-450	$16.7 \pm 4.0$	$10.1 \pm 4.4$	$11.1 \pm 3.6$	$2.3 \pm 1.6$	$40.2 \pm 7.1$	38
3-5	1250-1500	> 450	$12.3 \pm 3.2$	$2.3 \pm 1.7$	$2.8 \pm 1.5$	$0.2 \pm 0.5$	$17.6 \pm 4.0$	23
3-5	>1500	200-300	$10.5 \pm 2.8$	$16.7 \pm 6.2$	$15.2 \pm 3.4$	$35.1 \pm 14.1$	$77.6 \pm 16.1$	94
3-5	>1500	> 300	$10.9 \pm 2.9$	$9.7 \pm 4.3$	$6.5 \pm 2.0$	$2.4 \pm 2.0$	$29.6 \pm 5.8$	39
6-7	500-800	200-300	$22.7 \pm 6.1$	$132.5 \pm 58.6$	$127.1 \pm 21.5$	$18.2 \pm 9.2$	$300.5 \pm 63.4$	266
6-7	500-800	300-450	$9.9 \pm 3.1$	$22.0 \pm 10.8$	$18.6 \pm 4.3$	$1.9 \pm 1.7$	$52.3 \pm 12.1$	62
6-7	500-800	> 450	$0.7 \pm 0.6$	$0.0 \pm 1.6$	$0.1 \pm 0.3$	$0.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.8 \pm 1.7$	9
6-7	800-1000	200-300	$9.1 \pm 2.8$	$55.8 \pm 25.4$	$44.6 \pm 8.2$	$13.1 \pm 6.6$	$122.6 \pm 27.7$	111
6-7	800-1000	300-450	$4.2 \pm 1.6$	$10.4 \pm 5.5$	$12.8 \pm 3.1$	$1.9 \pm 1.4$	$29.3 \pm 6.6$	35
6-7	800-1000	> 450	$1.8 \pm 1.0$	$2.9 \pm 2.5$	$1.3 \pm 0.5$	$0.1 \pm 0.4$	$6.1 \pm 2.7$	4
6-7	1000-1250	200-300	$4.4 \pm 1.6$	$24.1 \pm 12.0$	$24.0 \pm 5.5$	$11.9 \pm 6.0$	$64.4 \pm 14.6$	67
6-7	1000-1250	300-450	$3.5 \pm 1.4$	$8.0 \pm 4.7$	$9.6 \pm 2.5$	$1.5 \pm 1.5$	$22.6 \pm 5.7$	20
6-7	1000-1250	> 450	$1.4\pm0.8$	$0.0 \pm 1.8$	$0.8 \pm 0.5$	$0.1 \pm 0.3$	$2.3 \pm 2.1$	4
6-7	1250-1500	200-300	$3.3 \pm 1.3$	$11.5 \pm 6.5$	$6.1 \pm 2.5$	$6.8 \pm 3.9$	$27.7 \pm 8.1$	24
6-7	1250-1500	300-450	$1.4 \pm 0.8$	$3.5 \pm 2.6$	$2.9 \pm 1.5$	$0.9 \pm 1.3$	$8.8 \pm 3.4$	5
6-7	1250-1500	> 450	$0.4 \pm 0.4$	$0.0 \pm 1.2$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.1 \pm 0.3$	$0.5 \pm 1.3$	2
6-7	>1500	200-300	$1.3 \pm 0.8$	$10.0 \pm 6.9$	$2.3 \pm 1.3$	$7.8 \pm 4.0$	$21.5 \pm 8.1$	18
6-7	>1500	> 300	$1.1 \pm 0.7$	$3.2 \pm 2.8$	$2.9 \pm 1.2$	$0.8 \pm 1.1$	$8.0 \pm 3.3$	3
$\geq 8$	500-800	> 200	$0.0 \pm 0.6$	$1.9 \pm 1.5$	$2.8 \pm 1.3$	$0.1 \pm 0.4$	$4.8 \pm 2.1$	8
$\geq 8$	800-1000	> 200	$0.6 \pm 0.5$	$4.8 \pm 2.9$	$2.7 \pm 1.1$	$0.5 \pm 0.9$	$8.7 \pm 3.3$	9
$\geq 8$	1000-1250	> 200	$0.6 \pm 0.5$	$1.4 \pm 1.5$	$3.1 \pm 1.2$	$0.7 \pm 0.9$	$5.8 \pm 2.2$	8
$\geq 8$	1250-1500	> 200	$0.0 \pm 0.7$	$5.1 \pm 3.5$	$1.3 \pm 0.8$	$0.5 \pm 0.9$	$6.9 \pm 3.7$	5
$\geq 8$	1500-	> 200	$0.0 \pm 0.6$	$0.0 \pm 2.1$	$1.5 \pm 1.0$	$0.9 \pm 1.3$	$2.4 \pm 2.8$	2

J. Thompson, Cornell 26 Aug 2013

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **QCD** background estimation

- CCMS request Hore Sector
- Established "Rebalance and Smear" technique in which low MHT data events are smeared, using measured jet resolutions, to emulate the high MHT tail from mismeasured jets

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Additional $Z \rightarrow vv$ plots

• HT and MHT dependence of  $Z/\gamma$ 

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

Razor: data/background agreement

Quantification of compatibility between data and background model

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Razor: more fit projections**

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Fit projections for electron boxes

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Razor: more fit projections**

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Fit projections for muon boxes

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **Razor: more fit projections**

> 2b-jet box

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Test of fit model using standard model simulation

- Projections of a sideband fit using the Razor PDF to simulated SM events in the Multijet box, extrapolated to search region
- The effect of varying the n parameter by +/- 1 sigma is shown by the shaded blue region

![](_page_27_Figure_7.jpeg)

J. Thompson, Cornell 26 Aug 2013

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Jets+MET+b-tags: background methods

- Data-driven approach with no extrapolations in kinematics
- Backgrounds and control samples:
  - ttbar/W/single-top
    - $1 e/\mu$  control sample
  - $Z \rightarrow vv$ 
    - $Z \rightarrow II$  control sample with loosened b-tagging ►
      - Extrapolation in b-tagging estimated with a data control sample
  - QCD

Events / 12 GeV

10

Data/MC

Inverted cut on jet-MET angle

![](_page_28_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_14.jpeg)

T1bbbb

T1bbbb

Single top

QCD

Z+jets

Diboson

E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> (GeV)

600, 500) GeV

(1225, 150) GeV

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Jets+MET+b-tags: Fit setup

Analysis is done in bins of:

H<sub>T</sub>, MET, number of b-tags

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

- Simultaneous fit to the control samples and search samples
- For QCD and ttbar, each search bin has a corresponding control bin
  - For  $Z \rightarrow vv$ , there is an extrapolation in b-tagging
- In the fit:
  - Shapes of search sample are constrained by the data control samples
    - With corrections from MC, in the case of ttbar
  - Normalization of backgrounds in search sample is allowed to float

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Observations consistent with background predictions

Fit performed in all search bins This plot shows the most sensitive bins  $CL_s$  Limits set using this method

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### CMS SUS-13-011, submitted to EPJC

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **Signal Simulation**

- Signal Monte Carlo samples generated with Madgraph with up to 2 additional partons
  - Studies done using Z+jets and ttbar+jets control samples to quantify agreement of ISR radiation in data and MC
- Correction to/uncertainty on p<sub>T</sub> spectrum of gen-level SUSY system derived from these comparisons
  - Correction from 0-20%
  - Uncertainty from 0-20%
- This (conservative) procedure allows us to interpret our results even in regimes where the boost of the SUSY system from ISR is important

![](_page_31_Figure_10.jpeg)

J. Thompson, Cornell 26 Aug 2013

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

Credit: J. Richman's talk on Wednesday

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

# A note on the Jets+MHT results

### Statistical interlude

- Consider the bin with
  - N(observed) = 9 events
  - N(background) = 0.8 ± 1.7 events

```
See CMS PAS SUS-13-012,
Table 1, p. 10
Njets: 6-7
HT: 500-800 GeV
MHT>450 GeV
```

- First, let's ignore the uncertainty on the background. What is the probability for a Poisson with μ=0.8 to fluctuate to at least 9 events?
  - Prob( n≥9 |  $\mu$  =0.8 ) = 1.8 × 10<sup>-7</sup>

Have we discovered new physics?

• NO! The uncertainty is crucial!

− Prob( n≥9 |  $\mu$  = 0.8 ± 1.7) ≈ 0.15

 This example highlights the importance of <u>quantifying the</u> <u>uncertainties on the SM backgrounds.</u>