Supersymmetry and Higgs Physics





Carlos E.M. Wagner
KICP and EFI, Univ. of Chicago
HEP Division Argonne National Lab

SUSY 2013 Conference, ICTP, Trieste, Italy, August 27, 2013

A Standard Model-like Higgs particle has been discovered by the ATLAS and CMS experiments at CERN









We see evidence of this particle in multiple channels.

We can reconstruct its mass and we know that is about 125 GeV.

The rates are consistent with those expected in the Standard Model.

But we cannot determine the Higgs couplings very accurately

Large Variations of Higgs couplings are still possible





As these measurements become more precise, they constrain possible extensions of the SM, and they could lead to the evidence of new physics.

It is worth studying what kind of effects one could obtain in well motivated extensions of the Standard Model, like SUSY.

Supersymmetry

fermions bosons



Photino, Zino and Neutral Higgsino: Neutralinos

Charged Wino, charged Higgsino: Charginos

Particles and Sparticles share the same couplings to the Higgs. Two superpartners of the two quarks (one for each chirality) couple strongly to the Higgs with a Yukawa coupling of order one (same as the top-quark Yukawa coupling)

$$\text{Two Higgs doublets necessary} \rightarrow \tan\beta = \frac{v_2}{v_1}$$

Why Supersymmetry ?

- Helps to stabilize the weak scale—Planck scale hierarchy: $\delta\, m_H^2 \approx (-1)^{2S_i} \frac{n_i\, g_i^2}{16\pi^2} \Lambda^2$
- Supersymmetry algebra contains the generator of space-time translations.
  Possible ingredient of theory of quantum gravity.
- Minimal supersymmetric extension of the SM :
  Leads to Unification of gauge couplings.
- Starting from positive masses at high energies, electroweak symmetry breaking is induced radiatively.
- If discrete symmetry, $P = (-1)^{3B+L+2S}$ is imposed, lightest SUSY particle neutral and stable: Excellent candidate for cold Dark Matter.

Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model

SM particle	SUSY partner	G_{SM}
(S = 1/2)	(S = 0)	
$Q = (t, b)_L$	$(\tilde{t}, \tilde{b})_L$	(3,2,1/6)
$L = (\nu, l)_L$	$(\tilde{\nu}, \tilde{l})_L$	(1,2,-1/2)
$U = \left(t^C\right)_L$	$\tilde{t}^*_R$	($\bar{3}$,1,-2/3)
$D = \left(b^C\right)_L$	$\tilde{b}^*_R$	($\bar{3}$,1,1/3)
$E = \left(l^C\right)_L$	$\tilde{l}^*_R$	(1,1,1)
(S = 1)	(S = 1/2)	
B_μ	$\tilde{B}$	(1,1,0)
W_μ	$\tilde{W}$	(1,3,0)
g_μ	$\tilde{g}$	(8,1,0)

In supersymmetric theories, there is one Higgs doublet that behaves like the SM one.

$$H_{SM} = H_d \cos\beta + H_u \sin\beta, \qquad \tan\beta = v_u/v_d$$

The orthogonal combination may be parametrized as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H + iA \\ H^{\pm} \end{pmatrix}$$

where H, $H^{\pm}$ and A represent physical CP-even, charged and CP-odd scalars (non standard Higgs).

Strictly speaking, the CP-even Higgs modes mix and none behave exactly as the SM one.

$$h = -\sin\alpha \ \mathrm{Re}(H_d^0) + \cos\alpha \ \mathrm{Re}(H_u^0)$$

In the so-called decoupling limit, in which the non-standard Higgs bosons are heavy, $\sin\alpha = -\cos\beta$ and one recovers the SM as an effective theory.

Lightest SM-like Higgs mass strongly depends on:

* CP-odd Higgs mass m_A
* tan beta
* the top quark mass
* the stop masses and mixing

$$M^2_{\tilde{t}} = \begin{pmatrix} m^2_Q + m^2_t + D_L & m_t X_t \\ m_t X_t & m^2_U + m^2_t + D_R \end{pmatrix}$$

M_h depends logarithmically on the averaged stop mass scale M_{SUSY} and has a quadratic and quartic dep. on the stop mixing parameter X_t. [and on sbotton/stau sectors for large tanbeta]

For moderate to large values of tan beta and large non-standard Higgs masses

$$\boxed{m_h^2 \cong M_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta + \frac{3}{4\pi^2}\frac{m_t^4}{v^2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\tilde{X}_t + t + \frac{1}{16\pi^2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{m_t^2}{v^2} - 32\pi\alpha_3\right)\left(\tilde{X}_t t + t^2\right)\right]}$$

$$t = \log(M_{SUSY}^2 / m_t^2) \qquad \tilde{X}_t = \frac{2X_t^2}{M_{SUSY}^2}\left(1 - \frac{X_t^2}{12 M_{SUSY}^2}\right) \qquad X_t = A_t - \mu/\tan\beta \rightarrow \text{LR stop mixing}$$

M.Carena, J.R. Espinosa, M. Quiros, C.W.'95
M. Carena, M. Quiros, C.W.'95

Analytic expression valid for $M_{SUSY} \sim m_Q \sim m_U$

Standard Model-like Higgs Mass

Long list of two-loop computations: Carena, Degrassi, Ellis, Espinosa, Haber, Harlander, Heinemeyer, Hempfling, Hoang, Hollik, Hahn, Martin, Pilaftsis, Quiros, Ridolfi, Rzehak, Slavich, C.W., Weiglein, Zhang, Zwirner

Carena, Haber, Heinemeyer, Hollik, Weiglein, C.W.'00





$$X_t = A_t - \mu/\tan\beta, \qquad X_t = 0 : \text{ No mixing;} \qquad X_t = \sqrt{6}M_S \;:\; \text{Max. Mixing}$$

Large $\tan\beta$ corrections



Corrections from the sbottom sector :
Negative contributions to the Higgs mass

$$\Delta m_h^2 \simeq -\frac{h_b^4 v^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{\mu^4}{M_{\rm SUSY}^4}$$

$$h_b \simeq \frac{m_b}{v\cos\beta(1+\tan\beta\Delta h_b)}$$

Similar negative corrections, often ignored,
appear from the stau sector

$$\Delta m_h^2 \simeq -\frac{h_\tau^4 v^2}{48\pi^2} \frac{\mu^4}{M_{\tilde{\tau}}^4}\,,$$

$$h_\tau \simeq \frac{m_\tau}{v\cos\beta(1+\tan\beta\Delta h_\tau)}$$

Large Mixing in the Stop Sector Necessary



P. Draper, P. Meade, M. Reece, D. Shih'11
L. Hall, D. Pinner, J. Ruderman'11
M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C. Wagner'11
A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, A. Djouadi, F. Mahmoudi, J. Quevillon'11
S. Heinemeyer, O. Stal, G. Weiglein'11
U. Ellwanger'11
...

Constraints on Different Minimal Models

Maximal Higgs mass in constrained MSSM scenarios



A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, A. Djouadi, F. Mahmoudi'12

Models which tend to predict small values of the stop mixing parameter are strongly constrained.

(see D. Shih's talk)

Soft supersymmetry Breaking Parameters

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C. Wagner, arXiv:1112.336, +L.T.Wang, arXiv:1205.5842





Large stop sector mixing

A_t > 1 TeV

No lower bound on the lightest stop

One stop can be light and the other heavy

or

in the case of similar stop soft masses.

both stops can be below 1TeV

Intermediate values of tan beta lead to the largest values of m_h for the same values of stop mass parameters

At large tan beta, light staus/sbottoms can decrease mh by several GeV's via Higgs mixing effects and compensate tan beta enhancement

Light stop coupling to the Higgs

$$m_Q \gg m_U; \qquad m^2_{\tilde{t}_1} \simeq m^2_U + m^2_t \left(1 - \frac{X^2_t}{m^2_Q}\right)$$

Lightest stop coupling to the Higgs approximately vanishes for $X_t \simeq m_Q$
Higgs mass pushes us in that direction
Modification of the gluon fusion rate milder due to this reason.

Limits on the Stop Mass





Large Stop Masses ?

Giudice, Strumia'11

See also G. Kane, P. Kumar, R. Lu, B. Zheng'12
A. Arvanitaki, N. Craig, S. Dimoupoulos, G. Villadoro'12
....

Impact of higher loops :

G. Lee, C.W'13

(See also S. Martin'07,
P. Kant, R. Harlander, L. Mihalla, M. Steinhauser'10
J. Feng, P. Kant, S. Profumo, D. Sanford.'13,)

Recalculation of RG prediction including up to 4 loops in RG expansion.

Agreement with S. Martin'07 and Espinosa and Zhang'00, Carena, Espinosa, Quiros,C.W.'00, Carena, Haber, Heinemeyer, Weiglein, Hollik and C.W.'00, in corresponding limits.

Two loops results agree w FeynHiggs and CPsuperH results





At moderate or large values of $\tan\beta$, an upper bound, $M_S < 10$ TeV (4 TeV) is obtained.

Upper bound becomes much weaker if X_t is large.



Higgs Boson Properties

The gauge boson masses still proceed from the kinetic terms

$$\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{D}^\mu H_u)^\dagger \mathcal{D}_\mu H_u + (\mathcal{D}^\mu H_d)^\dagger \mathcal{D}_\mu H_d + \to g^2 (H_u^\dagger W_\mu W^\mu H_u + H_d^\dagger W_\mu W^\mu H_d)$$

Therefore, the order parameter is $v = \sqrt{v_u^2 + v_d^2}$.

The fermion mass terms proceed from the Yukawa interactions

$$\mathcal{L} = -h_d \bar{D}_L H_d d_R - h_u \bar{U}_L H_u u_R + h.c.$$

Therefore, $m_d = h_d \, v \cos\beta$, and

$$\mathcal{L} \to -\frac{m_d}{v}(h + \tan\beta H)$$

and the down sector has $\tan\beta$ enhanced couplings to the non-standard Higgs bosons.

Hempfling '93
Hall, Rattazzi, Sarid'93
Carena, Olechowski, Pokorski, C.W.'93

Radiative Corrections to Flavor Conserving Higgs Couplings

- Couplings of down and up quark fermions to both Higgs fields arise after radiative corrections.

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{d}_L (h_d H_1^0 + \Delta h_d H_2^0) d_R$$





- The radiatively induced coupling depends on ratios of supersymmetry breaking parameters

$$m_b = h_b v_1 \left(1 + \frac{\Delta h_b}{h_b} \tan\beta\right)$$

$$\boxed{\tan\beta = \frac{v_2}{v_1}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_b}{\tan\beta} = \frac{\Delta h_b}{h_b} \simeq \frac{2\alpha_s}{3\pi} \frac{\mu M_{\tilde{g}}}{\max(m_{\tilde{b}_i}^2, M_{\tilde{g}}^2)} + \frac{h_t^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{\mu A_t}{\max(m_{\tilde{t}_i}^2, \mu^2)}$$

$$X_t = A_t - \mu/\tan\beta \simeq A_t \qquad \Delta_b = (E_g + E_t h_t^2) \tan\beta$$

Resummation : Carena, Garcia, Nierste, C.W.'00

Non-Standard Higgs Production

QCD: S. Dawson, C.B. Jackson, L. Reina, D. Wackeroth, hep-ph/0603112



Associated Production



Gluon Fusion

$$g_{Abb} \simeq g_{Hbb} \simeq \frac{m_b \tan\beta}{(1+\Delta_b)v}, \qquad g_{A\tau\tau} \simeq g_{H\tau\tau} \simeq \frac{m_\tau \tan\beta}{v}$$

Searches for non-standard Higgs bosons

M. Carena, S. Heinemeyer, G.Weiglein, C.W, EJPC'06

- Searches at the Tevatron and the LHC are induced by production channels associated with the large bottom Yukawa coupling.

$$\sigma(b\bar{b}A) \times BR(A \to b\bar{b}) \simeq \sigma(b\bar{b}A)_{\rm SM} \frac{\tan^2\beta}{(1+\Delta_b)^2} \times \frac{9}{(1+\Delta_b)^2+9}$$

$$\sigma(b\bar{b}, gg \to A) \times BR(A \to \tau\tau) \simeq \sigma(b\bar{b}, gg \to A)_{\rm SM} \frac{\tan^2\beta}{(1+\Delta_b)^2+9}$$

- There may be a strong dependence on the parameters in the bb search channel, which is strongly reduced in the tau tau mode.

Validity of this approximation confirmed by NLO computation by D. North and M. Spira, arXiv:0808.0087
Further work by Mhulleitner, Rzehak and Spira, 0812.3815

In the MSSM, non-standard Higgs may be produced via its large couplings to the bottom quark, and searched for in its decays into bottom quarks and tau leptons



How to test the region of low tanbeta and moderate mA ?

Decays of non-standard Higgs bosons into paris of standard ones, charginos and neutralinos may be a possibility.

Can change in couplings help there ?

It depends on radiative corrections

See
Carena, Haber, Logan, Mrenna '01

M. Carena, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stål, C.E.M. Wagner, G. Weiglein,
arXiv:1302.7033

The $m_h^{\max}$ scenario

Gives the lowest value of tan(beta) consistent with the measured Higgs mass



$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\mathrm{SUSY}} &= 1000 \text{ GeV}, \\
\mu &= 200 \text{ GeV}, \\
M_2 &= 200 \text{ GeV}, \\
X_t^{\mathrm{OS}} &= 2\, M_{\mathrm{SUSY}} \text{ (FD calculation)}, \\
X_t^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} &= \sqrt{6}\, M_{\mathrm{SUSY}} \text{ (RG calculation)}, \\
A_b &= A_\tau = A_t, \\
m_{\tilde{g}} &= 1500 \text{ GeV},
\end{aligned}
$$

The m_h^{mod} scenario

Moderate values of the stop mixing allow for consistency with the Higgs mass value in a broad region of the mA-tan(beta) plane



$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\mathrm{SUSY}} &= 1000 \text{ GeV}, \\
\mu &= 200 \text{ GeV}, \\
M_2 &= 200 \text{ GeV}, \\
X_t^{\mathrm{OS}} &= 1.5\, M_{\mathrm{SUSY}} \text{ (FD calculation)}, \\
X_t^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} &= 1.6\, M_{\mathrm{SUSY}} \text{ (RG calculation)}, \\
A_b &= A_\tau = A_t, \\
m_{\tilde{g}} &= 1500 \text{ GeV},
\end{aligned}
$$

Decays of the non-standard Higgs bosons into EWKinos in the m_h^{mod} scenario

M. Carena, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stål, C.E.M. Wagner, G. Weiglein, arXiv:1302.7033





Reach of non-standard Higgs bosons in tau decays modified
Opportunity for dedicated search of these decays.

Also $BR(H \to hh)$ may become important for small values of $\tan\beta$

Couplings of SM Higgs to Fermions and Gauge Bosons

Down-type Fermions

$$g_{hbb,h\tau\tau} = -h_{b,\tau} \sin\alpha + \Delta h_{b,\tau} \cos\alpha$$

$$g_{hbb,h\tau\tau} = -\frac{m_{b,\tau} \sin\alpha}{v \cos\beta (1 + \Delta_{b,\tau})} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta_{b,\tau}}{\tan\beta \tan\alpha}\right)$$

Up-type Fermions

$$g_{htt} = \frac{m_t \cos\alpha}{v \sin\beta}$$

Gauge Bosons

$$g_{hWW,hZZ} \simeq \sin(\beta - \alpha)$$

$$\frac{\cos\alpha}{\sin\beta} \simeq \sin(\beta - \alpha)$$

For $M_A > 200$ GeV and $\tan\beta > 5$

$$\cos(\beta - \alpha) \simeq -\frac{M_Z^2 + M_h^2}{(M_A^2 - M_h^2)\tan\beta}$$

$$-\frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos\beta} = \sin(\beta - \alpha) - \tan\beta \cos(\beta - \alpha)$$

The BR can still be affected by variations of the bottom and tau couplings.

LHC reach


ATLAS Preliminary (Simulation)
$\sqrt{s}$ = 14 TeV: ∫Ldt=300 fb^{-1} ; ∫Ldt=3000 fb^{-1}
∫Ldt=300 fb^{-1} extrapolated from 7+8 TeV
H→μμ
ttH,H→μμ
VBF,H→ττ
H→ ZZ
VBF,H→ WW
H→ WW
VH,H→γγ
ttH,H→γγ
VBF,H→γγ
H→γγ (+j)
H→γγ
0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
$\frac{\Delta\mu}{\mu}$


ATLAS Preliminary (Simulation)
$\sqrt{s}$ = 14 TeV: ∫Ldt=300 fb^{-1} ; ∫Ldt=3000 fb^{-1}
∫Ldt=300 fb^{-1} extrapolated from 7+8 TeV
Γ_Z / Γ_g
Γ_t / Γ_g
Γ_τ / Γ_μ
Γ_μ / Γ_Z
Γ_τ / Γ_Z
Γ_W / Γ_Z
Γ_γ / Γ_Z
$\Gamma_g \bullet \Gamma_Z / \Gamma_H$
0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
$\frac{\Delta(\Gamma_X/\Gamma_Y)}{\Gamma_X/\Gamma_Y} \sim 2\frac{\Delta(\kappa_X/\kappa_Y)}{\kappa_X/\kappa_Y}$

ILC reach



Peskin 1207.2516



Klute, Lafaye, Plehn, Rauch, Zerwas 1301.1322

Alignment in General two Higgs Doublet Models

H. Haber and J. Gunion'03

$$
\begin{aligned}
V \;=\;& m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2 - m_{12}^2 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2 + \mathrm{h.c.}) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2 (\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2)^2 \\
& + \lambda_3 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1)(\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2)(\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_1) \\
& + \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_5 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2)^2 + \left[\lambda_6 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1) + \lambda_7 (\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2)\right] \Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2 + \mathrm{h.c.} \right\} ,
\end{aligned}
$$

In the MSSM, at tree-level, only the first four couplings are non-zero and are governed by D-terms in the scalar potential. At loop-level, all of them become non-zero via the trilinear and quartic interactions with third generation sfermions.

Haber, Hempfling'93

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 &= \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{4}(g_1^2 + g_2^2) = \frac{m_Z^2}{v^2} , \\
\lambda_3 &= \frac{1}{4}(g_1^2 - g_2^2) = -\frac{m_Z^2}{v^2} + \frac{1}{2} g_2^2 , \\
\lambda_4 &= -\frac{1}{2} g_2^2 ,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{11} & \mathcal{M}_{12} \\ \mathcal{M}_{12} & \mathcal{M}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \equiv m_A^2 \begin{pmatrix} s_\beta^2 & -s_\beta c_\beta \\ -s_\beta c_\beta & c_\beta^2 \end{pmatrix} + v^2 \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} \\ L_{12} & L_{22} \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{11} &= \lambda_1 c_\beta^2 + 2\lambda_6 s_\beta c_\beta + \lambda_5 s_\beta^2 , \\
L_{12} &= (\lambda_3 + \lambda_4) s_\beta c_\beta + \lambda_6 c_\beta^2 + \lambda_7 s_\beta^2 , \\
L_{22} &= \lambda_2 s_\beta^2 + 2\lambda_7 s_\beta c_\beta + \lambda_5 c_\beta^2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

CP-even Higgs Mixing Angle and Alignment

M. Carena, I. Low, N. Shah, C.W.'13

$$\sin\alpha = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{12}^2}{\sqrt{\mathcal{M}_{12}^4 + \left(\mathcal{M}_{11}^2 - m_h^2\right)^2}}$$

$$-\tan\beta \; \mathcal{M}_{12}^2 = \left(\mathcal{M}_{11}^2 - m_h^2\right) \longrightarrow \sin\alpha = -\cos\beta$$

Condition independent of the CP-odd Higgs mass.

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_\beta^2 & -s_\beta c_\beta \\ -s_\beta c_\beta & c_\beta^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -s_\alpha \\ c_\alpha \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{v^2}{m_A^2} \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} \\ L_{12} & L_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -s_\alpha \\ c_\alpha \end{pmatrix} + \frac{m_h^2}{m_A^2} \begin{pmatrix} -s_\alpha \\ c_\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

Alignment Conditions

$$(m_h^2 - \lambda_1 v^2) + (m_h^2 - \tilde{\lambda}_3 v^2) t_\beta^2 = v^2 (3\lambda_6 t_\beta + \lambda_7 t_\beta^3) \ ,$$

$$(m_h^2 - \lambda_2 v^2) + (m_h^2 - \tilde{\lambda}_3 v^2) t_\beta^{-2} = v^2 (3\lambda_7 t_\beta^{-1} + \lambda_6 t_\beta^{-3})$$

- If fulfilled not only alignment is obtained, but also the right Higgs mass, $m_h^2 = \lambda_{\rm SM} v^2$, with $\lambda_{\rm SM} \simeq 0.26$ and $\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5 = \tilde{\lambda}_3$

$$\lambda_{\rm SM} = \lambda_1 \cos^4\beta + 4\lambda_6 \cos^3\beta \sin\beta + 2\tilde{\lambda}_3 \sin^2\beta \cos^2\beta + 4\lambda_7 \sin^3\beta \cos\beta + +\lambda_2 \sin^4\beta$$

- For $\lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 0$ the conditions simplify, but can only be fulfilled if

$$\lambda_1 \ \geq \ \lambda_{\rm SM} \ \geq \ \tilde{\lambda}_3 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda_2 \ \geq \ \lambda_{\rm SM} \ \geq \ \tilde{\lambda}_3 \ ,$$

or

$$\lambda_1 \ \leq \ \lambda_{\rm SM} \ \leq \ \tilde{\lambda}_3 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda_2 \ \leq \ \lambda_{\rm SM} \ \leq \ \tilde{\lambda}_3$$

- Conditions not fulfilled in the MSSM, where both $\lambda_1, \tilde{\lambda}_3 < \lambda_{\rm SM}$

Down Fermion Couplings for small values of μ

$$v^2 L_{11} = M_Z^2 \cos^2\beta + \mathrm{Loop11}$$
$$v^2 L_{12} = -M_Z^2 \cos\beta \sin\beta + \mathrm{Loop1}$$
$$v^2 L_{22} = M_Z^2 \sin^2\beta + \mathrm{Loop22}$$

Only Loop22 relevant
(stop contribution)

For $\tan\beta \geq 5$ and $m_A \geq 200$ GeV

$$\sin\alpha \simeq -\cos\beta \left(\frac{m_A^2 + M_Z^2}{m_A^2 - m_h^2} \right)$$

Suppression factor in the LHC channels at the 2012--2013 run

M. Carena, P. Draper, T. Liu, C. W. ,arXiv:1107.4354



Enhancement of bottom quark and tau couplings independent of $\tan\beta$

Alignment in the NMSSM

(See Delgado and Quiros'13 for similar case with triplets)

- In the NMSSM, one can get alignment at low values of $\tan\beta$, since the condition

$$\tilde{\lambda}_3 > \lambda_{\rm SM} > \lambda_1$$

may be fulfilled due to the tree-level corrections to $\tilde{\lambda}_3$ obtained after the integration of the singlet state, namely

$$\tilde{\lambda}_3 = -0.135 \ + \ \lambda^2$$

- Observe that $\lambda < 0.7$ in order to preserve perturbative consistency of the theory up to the GUT scale. At $\lambda \simeq 0.63$ the above condition no longer fulfilled.

NMSSM

X. Lu, H. Murayama, J. Ruderman, K. Tobioka '13

$$W \supset \lambda\, S H_u H_d + \frac{M}{2} S^2 + \mu\, H_u H_d \qquad V_{\rm soft} \supset m_S^2 |S|^2$$

$$V \supset |F_S|^2 = |\lambda H_u H_d + M S|^2$$



$$\delta m_h^2 = \lambda^2 v^2 \sin^2 2\beta \left(1 - \frac{M^2}{M^2 + m_S^2}\right)$$

M. Carena, I. Low, N. Shah, C.W.'13

Down Fermion Couplings in the NMSSM

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_{SM} = \frac{\lambda_{SM} - \tilde{\lambda}_3}{\tan^2\beta} = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_{SM}}{\tan^4\beta}$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_3 = -0.135 + \lambda^2$$



Suppressions of down couplings become stronger for larger values of lambda and lower CP-odd Higgs masses, and can lead to an enhancement of the diphoton and VV BR's

Hall et al'11, Ellwanger et al'll
Hao et al'12, Gunion et al'12

M. Carena, I. Low, N. Shah, C.W.'13

Need of Stop Radiative Corrections

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_{\rm SM} = \frac{\lambda_{\rm SM} - \tilde{\lambda}_3}{\tan^2\beta} = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_{\rm SM}}{\tan^4\beta}$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_3 = -0.135 \ + \ \lambda^2$$





Alignment close to the region leading to natural SUSY

MSSM at large values of μ

- At large values of μ, corrections to the quartic couplings $\lambda_{5,6,7}$ become significant.

- For nonvanishing values of these couplings, a new condition of alignment at large $\tan\beta$ is obtained

$$\tan\beta = \frac{\lambda_{\rm SM} - \tilde{\lambda}_3}{\lambda_7}, \qquad \lambda_2 \simeq \lambda_{\rm SM}$$

- Alignment for $\tan\beta \simeq 10$ may be obtained, making difficult the test of the "wedge" by coupling variations.

Impact and Size of Loop Corrections

Considering

$$\Delta L_{12} = \lambda_7, \qquad \Delta \tilde{L}_{12} = \Delta\left(\lambda_3 + \lambda_4\right), \qquad \Delta L_{11} = \lambda_5, \qquad \Delta L_{22} = \lambda_2.$$

The condition of alignment reads

$$\tan\beta \simeq \frac{\lambda_{\rm SM} - \tilde{\lambda}_3^{\rm tree} - \Delta\tilde{\lambda}_3}{\lambda_7} = \frac{120 - 32\pi^2\left(\Delta L_{11} + \Delta\tilde{L}_{12}\right)}{32\pi^2 \Delta L_{12}}$$

where the loop corrections are approximately given by

$$v^2 \Delta L_{12} \simeq \frac{v^2}{32\pi^2}\left[h_t^4 \frac{\mu \tilde{A}_t}{M_{\rm SUSY}^2}\left(\frac{A_t \tilde{A}_t}{M_{\rm SUSY}^2} - 6\right) + h_b^4 \frac{\mu^3 A_b}{M_{\rm SUSY}^4} + \frac{h_\tau^4}{3}\frac{\mu^3 A_\tau}{M_{\tilde{\tau}}^4}\right],$$

$$v^2 \Delta\tilde{L}_{12} \simeq \frac{v^2}{16\pi^2}\left[h_t^4 \frac{\mu^2}{M_{\rm SUSY}^2}\left(3 - \frac{A_t^2}{M_{\rm SUSY}^2}\right) + h_b^4 \frac{\mu^2}{M_{\rm SUSY}^2}\left(3 - \frac{A_b^2}{M_{\rm SUSY}^2}\right) + h_\tau^4 \frac{\mu^2}{3M_{\tilde{\tau}}^2}\left(3 - \frac{A_\tau^2}{M_{\tilde{\tau}}^2}\right)\right].$$

$$v^2 \Delta L_{11} \simeq -\frac{v^2}{32\pi^2}\left(\frac{h_t^4 \mu^2 A_t^2}{M_{\rm SUSY}^4} + \frac{h_b^4 \mu^2 A_b^2}{M_{\rm SUSY}^4} + \frac{h_\tau^4 \mu^2 A_\tau^2}{3M_{\tilde{\tau}}^4}\right)$$

M. Carena, I. Low, N. Shah, C.W.'13

Alignment value of $\tan\beta$ as a function of trilinear parameters



Bottom and tau Yukawa Couplings become or order one at $\tan\beta$ of order 50.

Solutions with small $\tan\beta$ and down Yukawas of order one not possible.

Similarly, solutions with large $\tan\beta$ and down Yukawas negligible not possible.

M. Carena, I. Low, N. Shah, C.W.'13

Variation of the down fermion couplings



The τ-phobic Higgs scenario

Suppression of down-type fermion couplings to the Higgs due to Higgs mixing effects. Staus play a relevant role. Decays into staus relevant for heavy non-standard Higgs bosons.



$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\mathrm{SUSY}} &= 1500\ \mathrm{GeV}, \\
\mu &= 2000\ \mathrm{GeV}, \\
M_2 &= 200\ \mathrm{GeV}, \\
X_t^{\mathrm{OS}} &= 2.45\, M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}\ \text{(FD calculation)}, \\
X_t^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} &= 2.9\, M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}\ \text{(RG calculation)}, \\
A_b = A_\tau &= A_t\ , \\
m_{\tilde{g}} &= 1500\ \mathrm{GeV}, \\
M_{\tilde{l}_3} &= 500\ \mathrm{GeV}\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

M. Carena, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stål, C.E.M. Wagner, G. Weiglein, arXiv:1302.7033

$$
\mathrm{Loop}_{12} = \frac{m_t^4}{16\pi^2 v^2 \sin^2\beta} \frac{\mu \tilde{A}_t}{M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^2} \left[\frac{A_t \tilde{A}_t}{M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^2} - 6 \right] + \frac{h_b^4 v^2}{16\pi^2} \sin^2\beta \frac{\mu^3 A_b}{M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^4} + \frac{h_\tau^4 v^2}{48\pi^2} \sin^2\beta \frac{\mu^3 A_\tau}{M_{\tilde{\tau}}^4}
$$

Loop Induced Couplings

Dominant Contributions to the Diphoton Width in the Standard Model



Similar corrections appear from other scalar, fermion or vector particles. Clearly, similarly to the top quark, chiral fermions tend to reduce the vector boson contributions

Higgs Diphoton Decay Width in the SM

$$\Gamma(h \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{G_F \alpha^2 m_h^3}{128\sqrt{2}\pi^3} \left| A_1(\tau_w) + N_c Q_t^2 A_{1/2}(\tau_t) \right|^2 \qquad \tau_i \equiv 4m_i^2/m_h^2$$

A. Djouadi'05

For particles much heavier than the Higgs boson

$$A_1 \to -7 \; , \qquad N_c Q_t^2\, A_{1/2} \to \frac{4}{3} N_c Q_t^2 \;\; \simeq 1.78, \quad \text{for } N_c = 3, Q_t = 2/3$$

In the SM, for a Higgs of mass about 125 GeV

$$m_h = 125 \;\; \text{GeV}: \;\; A_1 = -8.32 \; , \quad N_c Q_t^2 A_{1/2} = 1.84$$

Dominant contribution from W loops. Top particles suppress by 40 percent the W loop contribution. One can rewrite the above expression in terms of the couplings of the particles to the Higgs as :

$$\Gamma(h \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{\alpha^2 m_h^3}{1024\pi^3} \left| \frac{g_{hWW}}{m_W^2} A_1(\tau_w) + \frac{2 g_{ht\bar{t}}}{m_t} N_c Q_t^2 A_{1/2}(\tau_t) + N_c Q_S^2 \frac{g_{hSS}}{m_S^2} A_0(\tau_S) \right|^2$$

Inspection of the above expressions reveals that the contributions of particles heavier than the Higgs boson may be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}_{h\gamma\gamma} = -\frac{\alpha}{16\pi}\frac{h}{v}\left[\sum_i 2b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \log v}\log m_i(v)\right] F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{llll} b & = & \frac{4}{3}N_c Q^2 & \text{for a Dirac fermion ,} \\ b & = & -7 & \text{for the } W \text{ boson ,} \\ b & = & \frac{1}{3}\, N_c Q_S^2 & \text{for a charged scalar .} \end{array} \right.$$

where in the Standard Model

$$\frac{g_{hWW}}{m_W^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\log m_W^2(v) \ , \qquad \frac{2g_{ht\bar{t}}}{m_t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\log m_t^2(v)$$

This generalizes for the case of fermions with contributions to their masses independent of the Higgs field. The couplings come from the vertex and the inverse dependence on the masses from the necessary chirality flip (for fermions) and the integral functions.

$$\mathcal{L}_{h\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\alpha}{16\pi}\frac{h}{v}\left[\sum_i b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \log v}\log\left(\det \mathcal{M}_{F,i}^{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_{F,i}\right) + \sum_i b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \log v}\log\left(\det \mathcal{M}_{B,i}^2\right)\right] F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$$

M. Carena, I. Low, C.W., arXiv:1206.1082, Ellis, Gaillard, Nanopoulos'76, Shifman, Vainshtein, Voloshin, Zakharov'79

Similar considerations apply to the Higgs gluon coupling

Two Scalars with Mixing



Similar to light stau scenario,
M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C.W., arXiv: 1112.3336,
M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C.W., L.T. Wang, arXiv:1205.5842

$$\mathcal{M}_S^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{m}_L(v)^2 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v X_S \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v X_S & \tilde{m}_R(v)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log(\mathrm{Det} M_S^2)}{\partial v} \simeq -\frac{X_S^2 v}{m_{S_1}^2 m_{S_2}^2}$$

Negative Effective Coupling of lightest scalar

Large mixing and small value of the lightest scalar mass leads to enhancement of the diphoton amplitude





Lightest scalar, with mass below 200 GeV gives the dominant contribution in this case.

M. Carena, I. Low, C.W., arXiv:1206.1082

Higgs Decay into two Photons in the MSSM

Charged scalar particles with no color charge can change di-photon rate without modification of the gluon production process



$$\mathcal{M}^2_{\tilde{\tau}} \simeq \begin{bmatrix} m^2_{L_3} + m^2_\tau + D_L & h_\tau v(A_\tau\cos\beta - \mu\sin\beta) \\ h_\tau v(A_\tau\cos\beta - \mu\sin\beta) & m^2_{E_3} + m^2_\tau + D_R \end{bmatrix}$$

Light staus with large mixing

[sizeable μ and tan beta]:

➔ enhancement of the

Higgs to di-photon decay rate

$$\delta\mathcal{A}_{h\gamma\gamma}/\mathcal{A}^{\rm SM}_{h\gamma\gamma} \simeq -\frac{2\,m^2_\tau}{39\,m^2_{\tilde{\tau}_1}m^2_{\tilde{\tau}_2}}\left(m^2_{\tilde{\tau}_1} + m^2_{\tilde{\tau}_2} - X^2_\tau\right)$$

$$X_\tau = A_\tau - \mu\tan\beta$$

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C. Wagner, arXiv:1112.336, +L.T.Wang, arXiv:1205.5842

For a more generic discussion of modified diphoton width by new charged particles, see M. Carena, I. Low and C. Wagner, arXiv:1206.1082

M. Carena, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stål,
C.E.M. Wagner, G. Weiglein,
arXiv:1302.7033

The Light Stau Scenario

Enhancement of diphoton decay rate at large values of tan(beta).

$$\delta\mathcal{A}_{h\gamma\gamma}/\mathcal{A}_{h\gamma\gamma}^{\rm SM} \simeq -\frac{2\, m_\tau^2}{39\, m_{\tilde\tau_1}^2 m_{\tilde\tau_2}^2}\left(m_{\tilde\tau_1}^2 + m_{\tilde\tau_2}^2 - X_\tau^2\right) \qquad X_\tau = A_\tau - \mu\tan\beta$$





$$\begin{aligned} M_{\rm SUSY} &= 1000 \text{ GeV}, \\ \mu &= 500 \text{ GeV}, \\ \mu &= 450 \text{ GeV } (\Delta_\tau \text{ calculation}), \\ M_2 &= 200 \text{ GeV}, \\ M_2 &= 400 \text{ GeV } (\Delta_\tau \text{ calculation}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{\rm OS} &= 1.6\, M_{\rm SUSY} \text{ (FD calculation)}, \\ X_t^{\overline{\rm MS}} &= 1.7\, M_{\rm SUSY} \text{ (RG calculation)}, \\ A_b &= A_t\ , \\ A_\tau &= 0\ , \\ m_{\tilde g} &= 1500 \text{ GeV}, \\ M_{\tilde l_3} &= 245 \text{ GeV}, \\ M_{\tilde l_3} &= 250 \text{ GeV } (\Delta_\tau \text{ calculation}). \end{aligned}$$

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, L. Wang, C.W.'12, Giudice et al'12

Neutralino Dark Matter in the Light Stau Scenario

- Effects of coannihilation with light staus very important. Final states : Higgs and taus.





For stau masses of order 90 to 100 GeV, neutralino masses of about 30 to 50 GeV are obtained. Also muon g-2 works for light sleptons. Any evidence of light sleptons ?

Stau (N)NLSP scenario

Gauge Mediated Supersymmetry Breaking (GMSB) model

CMS-SUS-13-002





Electroweak production of right-handed sleptons

Signal populates high MET and τ channels.

Exclusion limits in the degenerate smuon- and selectron-stau mass plane



Next slide
more on discrepancy

Origin & significance of discrepancy

CMS-SUS-13-002

Categorie:

4 leptons, OSSF1, off-Z,

including 1 τ,

no b-tags, HT< 200 GeV

Observe = 22 events

Expected = 10 $\pm$ 2.4 events



Probability for 1 out of 64 categories to have as large a fluctuation ≈ 50 %
Probability for all bins in 1 out of 64 categories to have as large a fluctuation ≈ 5 %

Given that we search for new physics in 64 different categories of multi-lepton events, it is not surprising that we find one category with a large deviation between observed yield and expected SM background.

Model with a four generation leptons and their vector pairs.

Model can lead to the presence of Dark Matter and an enhanced diphoton rate

M. Carena, I. Low, C. Wagner'12; A. Joglekar, P. Schwaller, C.W.'12, A. Hamed, K. Blum, T. D'Agnolo, J. Fan'12



$Y_C' = Y_C" = 1$



$Y_C' = Y_C" = 0.8$

$$\mathcal{L}_{h\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\alpha}{16\pi}\frac{h}{v}\left[\sum_i b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \log v}\log\left(\det \mathcal{M}^\dagger_{F,i}\mathcal{M}_{F,i}\right) + \sum_i b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \log v}\log\left(\det \mathcal{M}^2_{B,i}\right)\right] F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$$

Ellis, Gaillard, Nanopoulos'76, Shifman, Vainshtein, Voloshin, Zakharov'79

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_c' v & m_L \\ m_E & Y_c' v \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log(\mathrm{Det} M_f)}{\partial v} \simeq -2\frac{Y_C' Y_C" v}{m_L m_E - Y_C' Y_C" v^2}$$

Some other Interesting Models that lead to enhancement of the Diphoton rate

- In general, large enhancements at tree-level lead to new vacuua at the few TeV scale.

- In the lepton case, it can be improved by adding supersymmetry (two Dark Matter candidates could appear in this model)

  A. Joglekar, P. Schwaller, C.W.'13

- One can also use leptons of different charges

  M. Carena, M. Bauer, W. Altmannshofer'13

- Alternatively, one could use charginos from strongly interacting sectors or lighter charginos that may escape LEP, Tevatron and LHC detection.

  R. Huo, G. Lee, C.W.'12, B. Batell, S. Hung, C.W.'13

- In general, it is very difficult to obtain enhancements larger than about 50 percent at the loop level.

M. Carena, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stål,
C.E.M. Wagner, G. Weiglein,
arXiv:1302.7033

The Light Stop Scenario

Stop mixing large, lightest stop mass of order 320 GeV.
Heaviest stop mass of order 650 GeV.
Reduction of the gluon fusion process rate.

$$\delta\mathcal{A}_{hgg}/\mathcal{A}_{hgg}^{\rm SM} \simeq \frac{m_t^2}{4m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{t}_2}^2}\left(m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 + m_{\tilde{t}_2}^2 - X_t^2\right)$$





$$M_{\rm SUSY} = 500\ \text{GeV}, \quad \mu = 350\ \text{GeV}, \quad M_2 = 350\ \text{GeV},$$

$$X_t^{\rm OS} = 2.0\, M_{\rm SUSY}\ \text{(FD calculation)}, \quad X_t^{\overline{\rm MS}} = 2.2\, M_{\rm SUSY}\ \text{(RG calculation)}, \quad A_b = A_t = A_\tau, \quad m_{\tilde{g}} = 1500\ \text{GeV},$$

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C.W. and L.T.Wang, arXiv:1303.4414

Light Stops, Light Staus and the 125 GeV Higgs

Cases	$\tan\beta$	$m_{\tilde{\tau}_1}$ (GeV)	m_{e_3} (GeV)	μ (GeV)	m_{Q_3} (TeV)	A_τ (TeV)	m_A (TeV)
(a) Shaded dashed	70	95	250	380	2	0	2
(b) Shaded dotted	70	95	230	320	2	1	1
(c) Horizontal hatch	105	95	240	225	2	1	1
(d) Vertical hatch	70	100	300	575	3	1.5	1





(a) to (c) : Consistent with vacuum stability constraints

M. Carena, S. Gori, I. Low, N. Shah, C.W., arXiv:1211.6136

Variation of Production Cross sections and Decay Rates

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C.W. and L.T.Wang, arXiv:1303.4414





$$\delta\mathcal{A}_{hgg}/\mathcal{A}_{hgg}^{\rm SM} \simeq \frac{m_t^2}{4m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{t}_2}^2}\left(m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 + m_{\tilde{t}_2}^2 - X_t^2\right)$$

$$\delta\mathcal{A}_{h\gamma\gamma}/\mathcal{A}_{h\gamma\gamma}^{\rm SM} \simeq -\frac{2\, m_\tau^2}{39\, m_{\tilde{\tau}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{\tau}_2}^2}\left(m_{\tilde{\tau}_1}^2 + m_{\tilde{\tau}_2}^2 - X_\tau^2\right)$$

m_τ = 95 GeV



Light Staus can enhance the diphoton decay width
Light stops can either enhance or suppress gluon copling
Combination can lead to large variations of production in both gluon fusion and weak boson fusion (ratios of branching ratios)

Case of heavy Staus

Only stop loop effects relevant in this case

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, L.T. Wang and C.W'13



Moderate enhancement of the Higgs to diphoton rate may be obtained in weak boson fusion. Gluon fusion induced rate tend to be smaller than in the SM.

Impact of Light staus on heavy Higgs Boson Searches

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C.W. and L.T.Wang, arXiv:1303.4414

- Previous analysis performed under the assumption of no additional decays apart from the ones into bottom-quarks and tau-leptons.
- Light staus can lead to relevant extra contributions to the decay width.
- For large values of A_τ, the non-standard Higgs bosons couple strongly to staus at large $\tan\beta$.
- The additional width of the Higgs bosons leads to a reduction in the branching ratios into both bottoms and taus, and make searches more challenging.
- Searches for staus in decays of non-standard Higgs bosons should be also considered.

Branching Ratios and Widths of Non-Standard Higgs Decays into Staus

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C.W. and L.T.Wang, arXiv:1303.4414

$$\sigma(pp \to (H,A) \to \tau^+\tau^-) \propto \frac{m_b^2 \tan^2\beta}{\left[\left(3\frac{m_b^2}{m_\tau^2} + \frac{\left(M_W^2+M_Z^2\right)(1+\Delta_b)^2}{m_\tau^2 \tan^2\beta}\right)(1+\Delta_\tau)^2 + (1+\Delta_b)^2\left(1+\frac{A_\tau^2}{m_A^2}\right)\right]}$$



Decay branching ratio of heavy non-standard Higgs boson to staus



Total heavy Higgs boson width



Decay branching ratio into taus, compared to the mhmax scenario.

Conclusions

- Resonance discovered at the LHC has properties consistent with SM Higgs ones.
- Precise production rates and branching ratios may be affected by new physics. As an example, we have studied the MSSM and NMSSM cases
- These models have a rich phenomenology that can led to large variations of the couplings and to new related signatures at colliders.
- Higher than two loop corrections should be considered at large Msusy.
- Down fermion couplings suffer variations in the wedge that vary from a few to a few tens of percent depending of mainly sign and magnitude of trilinear couplings.
- Third generation sfermions play a very relevant role and, if they are light, they can have a relevant impact on the loop induced couplings and Higgs phenomenology.
- Search for non-standard Higgs in new channels including electoweakinos, standard Higgs bosons and staus can provide alternative ways of checking the Higgs wedge.

Dirac NMSSM

$$W \supset \lambda\, S H_u H_d + M S \bar{S} + \mu\, H_u H_d$$

$$V \supset |F_S|^2 = |\lambda H_u H_d + M \bar{S}|^2$$



$$\delta m_h^2 = \lambda^2 v^2 \sin^2 2\beta \left(1 - \frac{M^2}{M^2 + m_{\bar{S}}^2}\right)$$

Higgs pheno of Dirac NMSSM

H

h

benchmark parameters		
$\lambda = 0.74$	$\tan\beta = 2$	$\mu_{eff} = 150$ GeV
$b_{eff} = (190\text{ GeV})^2$	$A_\lambda = 0$	$B_s = 100$ GeV
$M = 1$ TeV	$m_{\bar{S}} = 10$ TeV	$m_S = 800$ GeV





Heavy Higgs decays

$M_A = 300$ GeV $\qquad$ $M_A = 500$ GeV

H decays





A decays





Higgs Mass in MSSM



$$m_h \approx 125 \text{ GeV}$$

MSSM is fine-tuned at the 1% level or worse

Hall, Pinner, JTR 1112.2703
+ many others

Phenomenological MSSM (pMSSM)

- The most general CP/R parity-conserving MSSM
- Minimal Flavour Violation at the TeV scale
- The first two sfermion generations are degenerate
- The three trilinear couplings are general for the 3 generations

$\rightarrow$ 19 free parameters

10 sfermion masses: $M_{\tilde{e}_L} = M_{\tilde{\mu}_L}$, $M_{\tilde{e}_R} = M_{\tilde{\mu}_R}$, $M_{\tilde{\tau}_L}$, $M_{\tilde{\tau}_R}$, $M_{\tilde{q}_{1L}} = M_{\tilde{q}_{2L}}$, $M_{\tilde{q}_{3L}}$, $M_{\tilde{u}_R} = M_{\tilde{c}_R}$, $M_{\tilde{t}_R}$, $M_{\tilde{d}_R} = M_{\tilde{s}_R}$, $M_{\tilde{b}_R}$

3 gaugino masses: M_1, M_2, M_3

3 trilinear couplings: $A_d = A_s = A_b$, $A_u = A_c = A_t$, $A_e = A_\mu = A_\tau$

3 Higgs/Higgsino parameters: M_A, $\tan\beta$, μ

A. Djouadi et al., hep-ph/9901246



A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, A. Djouadi, F.M., J. Quevillon, Phys.Lett. B708 (2012) 162

$M_h \sim 125$ GeV is easily satisfied in pMSSM

No mixing cases ($X_t \approx 0$) excluded for small M_S

Heavy Higgs search constraints

Searches for heavy Higgs bosons mainly relies on $H/A \to \tau^+\tau^+$



A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, FM, Phys.Rev. D88 (2013) 015007

lines: limits corresponding to an exclusion of 99.9% of the points
grey points: excluded by dark matter, flavour physics and Higgs mass constraints
colour (blue) scale: fraction of excluded points

$\to$ Some points inside the $H \to \tau\tau$ excluded region still survive

$\to$ Other channels ($H \to ZZ$, $H \to t\bar{t}$, ...) will help probing the small $\tan\beta$ region

Particular benchmark scenario: maximal mixing ($X_t \approx \sqrt{6} M_S$):

Decoupling regime:
large M_A, $\cos^2(\beta - \alpha) \leq 0.05$

Intermediate regime:
intermediate M_A

Anti-decoupling regime:
small M_A, $\cos^2(\beta - \alpha) \geq 0.95$

Intense coupling:
h, A, H rather close in mass,
g^2_{hbb} and $g^2_{Hbb} \geq 50$

Vanishing coupling:
g^2_{hbb} or $g^2_{hVV} \leq 0.05$



Green: LEP Higgs search limit

Solid black line: CMS $A/H \to \tau^+\tau^-$ search limit at 7+8 TeV with 17/fb

Dotted cyan line: ATLAS $t \to H^+ b$ search limit at 7 TeV with 4.6/fb

Coupling to Fermions and Weak Gauge Bosons

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C.W. and L.T. Wang, [arXiv:1303.4414]



(iii)



Searches for staus in associated production with sneutrinos.

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C.W. and L.T.Wang, arXiv:1303.4414

Final State in $pp \to Wh$, followed by $h \to \tau^+\tau^-$ is similar to the one in

$pp \to \tilde{\tau}\tilde{\nu}_\tau$, followed by $\tilde{\nu}_\tau \to \tilde{\tau} + \chi_1^0$.



Look for leptonic decay of the W, and one hadronic and one leptonic tau decay. Same selection cuts as in the Higgs search analysis.

Cut in visible mass increase signal to background ratio, but very low statistics. Dedicated search with optimized selection cuts should be performed.

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C.W. and L.T.Wang, arXiv:1303.4414

Light Stop Searches

- Light stops, mainly right handed, may be present without affecting the Higgs mass predictions and without affecting precision electroweak measurements.
- If present, they have an impact on both gluon fusion cross section as well as in $\gamma\gamma$ Higgs decay width. There are strong direct search constraints.
- Three body decay into staus may become the dominant stop decay mode, when three body decay into a neutralino, a W and a b is closed.
- For a neutralino mass of about 40 to 50 GeV, this happens for stop masses of about 130 GeV.

Stop Branching Ratios in Light Stau Scenario

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C.W. and L.T. Wang, arXiv:1303.4414



Apart from region close to top neutralino decay threshold, decays of stops into staus open new possibilities

M. Carena, S. Gori, I. Low, N. Shah, C.W., arXiv:1211.6136

Vacuum stability

For large values of the mu parameter and the tau Yukawa coupling, one can generate new charge breaking minima deeper than the electroweak minimum

$$
\begin{aligned}
V = & \left|\mu \frac{h_u}{\sqrt{2}} - y_\tau \tilde{\tau}_L \tilde{\tau}_R\right|^2 + \frac{g_2^2}{8}\left(|\tilde{\tau}_L|^2 + \frac{h_u^2}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{g_1^2}{8}\left(|\tilde{\tau}_L|^2 - 2|\tilde{\tau}_R|^2 - \frac{h_u^2}{2}\right)^2 \\
& + m_{H_u}^2 \frac{h_u^2}{2} + m_{L_3}^2 |\tilde{\tau}_L|^2 + m_{E_3}^2 |\tilde{\tau}_R|^2 + \frac{g_1^2 + g_2^2}{8}\,\delta_H\,\frac{h_u^4}{4}\ ,
\end{aligned}
$$

This occures in this improved tree-level potential, but also occurs in the full one-loop effective potential we shall analyze

Vacuum Stability

Electroweak Minimum is in general metastable in this scenario
Hisano, Sugiyama'11

Metastability bound depends on tan(beta)

Effective values include one loop correction effects, and it is different for bottoms as for tau leptons. In the following, we refer to the tau one.

$$h_{b,\tau} \simeq \frac{m_b \tan\beta}{v(1+\Delta_{b,\tau})}, \qquad (\tan\beta_{\rm eff})_{b,\tau} = \frac{\tan\beta}{(1+\Delta_{b,\tau})}$$

S. Gori, I. Low, N. Shah, M. Carena, C.E.M.W.'12





Inclusion of Mixing in the CP-even Higgs sector







S. Gori, I. Low, N. Shah, M. Carena, C.E.M.W.'12

$$\frac{g_{hbb}}{g_{h\tau\tau}} = \frac{m_b(1+\Delta_\tau)\,(1-\Delta_b/(\tan\beta\tan\alpha))}{m_\tau(1+\Delta_b)\,(1-\Delta_\tau/(\tan\beta\tan\alpha))}.$$

Branching ratio of decay into bottom quarks remain larger than 95 percent

Calculated with FeynHiggs (no Δ_τ but full one-loop corrections.)

New CPsuperH includes all Δ_f. Leads to similar gamma gamma rates, but slightly smaller τ suppressions.

CPsuperH : arXiv:1208.2212

Evolution of Yukawa Couplings

S. Gori, I. Low, N. Shah, M. Carena, C.E.M.W.'12



Large suppression of Higgs decay into taus, keeping metastability, may only be achieved at large values of the effective tan(beta) of tau leptons.

Values of effective tan(beta) larger than 90 imply the existence of a Landau pole before the GUT scale

An ultraviolet completion would be therefore necessary at high scales.

Non-Standard Higgs Production

QCD: S. Dawson, C.B. Jackson, L. Reina, D. Wackeroth, hep-ph/0603112



Associated Production



Gluon Fusion

$$g_{Abb} \simeq g_{Hbb} \simeq \frac{m_b \tan\beta}{(1+\Delta_b)v}, \qquad g_{A\tau\tau} \simeq g_{H\tau\tau} \simeq \frac{m_\tau \tan\beta}{v}$$

Searches for non-standard Higgs bosons

M. Carena, S. Heinemeyer, G.Weiglein,C.W, EJPC'06

- Searches at the Tevatron and the LHC are induced by production channels associated with the large bottom Yukawa coupling.

$$\sigma(b\bar{b}A) \times BR(A \to b\bar{b}) \simeq \sigma(b\bar{b}A)_{\rm SM} \frac{\tan^2\beta}{(1+\Delta_b)^2} \times \frac{9}{(1+\Delta_b)^2 + 9}$$

$$\sigma(b\bar{b}, gg \to A) \times BR(A \to \tau\tau) \simeq \sigma(b\bar{b}, gg \to A)_{\rm SM} \frac{\tan^2\beta}{(1+\Delta_b)^2 + 9}$$

- There may be a strong dependence on the parameters in the bb search channel, which is strongly reduced in the tau tau mode.

Validity of this approximation confirmed by NLO computation by D. North and M. Spira, arXiv:0808.0087
Further work by Mhulleitner, Rzehak and Spira, 0812.3815


CMS Preliminary, √s = 7+8 TeV, L = 17 fb-1
tanβ
95% CL Excluded Regions
Observed
LEP
MSSM m_h^max scenario
M_SUSY = 1 TeV
m_A [GeV]
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35
40
45
50
200
400
600
800

N. Shah, M. Carena, I. Low, C.W'13



N. Shah, M. Carena, I. Low, C.W'13

$$\Delta_{b,\tau} = \epsilon \tan\beta$$

N. Shah, M. Carena, I. Low, C.W'13

ε

loop12

loop11

$$\Delta_{b,\tau} = \epsilon \tan\beta$$



$\epsilon = -0.01$

$Loop12 = 1500$

$Loop11 = -10000$

N. Shah, M. Carena, I. Low, C.W'13



Impact of higher loops

Recalculation of RG prediction including up to 4 loops in RG expansion

Agreement with S. Martin'07 and Espinosa and Zhang'00, Carena, Espinosa, Quiros,C.W.'00, Carena, Haber, Heinemeyer, Weiglein, Hollik and C.W.'00, in corresponding limits.

Two loops results agree w FeynHiggs and CPsuperH results

G. Lee, C.W'13
(see also Feng et al.'13)

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C. Wagner, arXiv:1112.336

Mixing Effects in the CP- even Higgs Sector

- Mixing can have relevant effects in the production and decay rates

$$\mathcal{M}_H^2 = \begin{bmatrix} m_A^2 \sin^2\beta + M_Z^2 \cos^2\beta & -(m_A^2 + M_Z^2)\sin\beta\cos\beta + \text{Loop}_{12} \\ -(m_A^2 + M_Z^2)\sin\beta\cos\beta + \text{Loop}_{12} & m_A^2 \cos^2\beta + M_Z^2 \sin^2\beta + \text{Loop}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Loop}_{12} = \frac{m_t^4}{16\pi^2 v^2 \sin^2\beta} \frac{\mu \bar{A}_t}{M_{\text{SUSY}}^2}\left[\frac{A_t \bar{A}_t}{M_{\text{SUSY}}^2} - 6\right] + \frac{h_b^4 v^2}{16\pi^2} \sin^2\beta \frac{\mu^3 A_b}{M_{\text{SUSY}}^4} + \frac{h_\tau^4 v^2}{48\pi^2} \sin^2\beta \frac{\mu^3 A_\tau}{M_\tau^4}$$

effects through radiative corrections
to the CP-even mass matrix
which defines the mixing angle alpha

$$\sin\alpha\cos\alpha = M_{12}^2 / \sqrt{\left(\mathrm{Tr}\, M^2\right)^2 - 4\det M^2}$$

$$hb\bar{b}: \quad -\frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos\beta}\left[1 - \frac{\Delta h_b \tan\beta}{1 + \Delta h_b \tan\beta}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\tan\alpha\tan\beta}\right)\right]$$

Small Variations in the Br(Hbb) can induce
significant variations in the other Higgs Br's

Additional modifications of the Higgs rates into gauge bosons via stau induced mixing effects in the Higgs sector

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C. Wagner, arXiv:1112.336,+L.T. Wang, arXiv:1205.5842



m_{Stau}~ 90 GeV; m_h~ 125 GeV

Important A_τ induced radiative corrections to the mixing angle α that defines the bottom coupling to Higgs

hbb ~ sinα/cosβ

Values of the soft parameters larger than 250 GeV tend to lead to vacuum stability problems

Small variations in BR [Hbb] induce significant variations in the other Higgs BR's

Gluon fusion production rate can be varied for light stops