

# Dark Radiation and Dark Matter from String Compactifications

**MICHELE CICOLI**

Bologna University and ICTP Trieste

SUSY 2013, ICTP, 29 Aug 2013

Based on:

1. Dark radiation: [MC, Conlon, Quevedo, arXiv:1208.3562 \[hep-th\]](#)  
→ See talks by Nakayama + Marsh + Kersten + Angus
2. Dark matter: [Allahverdi, MC, Dutta, Sinha, arXiv:1307.5086 \[hep-ph\]](#)  
→ See talk by Watson

# Cosmological challenges for strings

Two ubiquitous problems of string compactifications:

## ● **Cosmological moduli problem** [Coughlan et al][Banks et al][de Carlos et al]:

1.  $\phi$  starts oscillating at  $H_{\text{osc}} \sim m_\phi$  with  $\phi_0 \sim M_P$
2.  $\phi$  redshifts as matter  $\Rightarrow$  dominates the energy density
3.  $\phi$  decays at  $H_{\text{dec}} \sim \Gamma \sim \epsilon^2 m_\phi$  where  $\epsilon \equiv m_\phi/M_P \ll 1$
4. Reheat temperature  $T_{\text{rh}} \sim \epsilon^{1/2} m_\phi > T_{\text{BBN}} \simeq 3 \text{ MeV} \Rightarrow m_\phi > 50 \text{ TeV}$

## ● **Axionic dark matter overproduction** [Preskill et al] [Abbott, Sikivie]:

1.  $\mathcal{O}(100)$  axions in string compactifications
2. Some projected out, eaten up by anomalous  $U(1)$ s or heavy from NP effects
3. Some remain light  $\Rightarrow$  one can be the QCD axion with  $f_a \sim M_s$
4. Overproduction of axionic cold DM for  $f_a > 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$

Tension between these two problems:

$\phi$  heavier/lighter than 50 TeV  $\Leftrightarrow$  high/low string scale  $\Leftrightarrow$  too much/right axion DM

# Non-standard cosmology from strings

Focus on  $m_\phi > 50$  TeV  $\Rightarrow \phi$  decay dilutes any previous relic [Moroi,Randall]:

- Axionic DM diluted if  $T_{\text{rh}} < \Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \simeq 200$  MeV [Fox,Pierce,Thomas]  
 $\Rightarrow$  if  $T_{\text{rh}} \gtrsim T_{\text{BBN}}$  can have  $f_a \sim 10^{14}$  GeV without tuning
- Standard thermal LSP DM diluted if  $T_{\text{rh}} < T_{\text{f}} \simeq m_{\text{DM}}/20 \sim \mathcal{O}(10)$  GeV
- Baryon asymmetry diluted if produced before  $\phi$  decay  
 $\Rightarrow$  good for Affleck-Dine baryogenesis which can be too efficient [Kane,Shao,Watson,Yu]

Decay products:

- Non-thermal LSP DM from  $\phi$  decay [Acharya et al][Allahverdi,MC,Dutta,Sinha]
  - Annihilation scenario for high  $T_{\text{rh}}$  (close to  $T_{\text{f}}$ )
    1. abundant initial production of DM
    2. subsequent efficient annihilation  $\Rightarrow$  Wino/Higgsino-like DM
  - Branching scenario for low  $T_{\text{rh}}$  (close to  $T_{\text{BBN}}$ )
    1. smaller initial production of DM
    2. subsequent inefficient annihilation  $\Rightarrow$  Bino-like DM
- Baryon asymmetry from  $\phi$  decay  $\Rightarrow$  Co-genesis of DM and baryogenesis due to new  $\mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$  colored particles with  $B$ - and  $CP$ -violating couplings [Allahverdi,Dutta,Sinha]

# Challenges for moduli decays

Two problems for moduli decays:

● **Gravitino problem** [Endo,Hamaguchi,Takahashi] [Nakamura,Yamaguchi]:

1. if  $m_{3/2} < m_\phi$  the gravitino is produced from  $\phi$  decay
2. if  $m_{3/2} < 50$  TeV  $\Rightarrow$  gravitino decays after BBN
3. if  $m_{3/2} > 50$  TeV  $\Rightarrow$  gravitini could annihilate into DM  $\Rightarrow$  DM overproduction

● **Axionic dark radiation overproduction** [MC,Conlon,Quevedo][Higaki,Takahashi]:

1. moduli are gauge singlets  $\Rightarrow$  they do not prefer to decay into visible sector fields
2. large branching ratio into light axions  $\Rightarrow$  large  $N_{\text{eff}}$

$$\rho_{\text{rad}} = \rho_\gamma \left( 1 + \frac{7}{8} \left( \frac{4}{11} \right)^{4/3} N_{\text{eff}} \right)$$

3. Tight bounds from observations (Planck+WMAP9+ACT+SPT+BAO+HST):

$$N_{\text{eff}} = 3.52_{-0.45}^{+0.48} \quad 95\% \text{ CL} \Rightarrow \Delta N_{\text{eff}} \simeq 0.5$$

# LARGE Volume Scenario

Type IIB LVS models: moduli masses and couplings can be computed explicitly  
⇒ can study cosmological history of the universe

● Lightest modulus mass:

$$m_\phi \simeq m_{3/2} \sqrt{\epsilon} \ll m_{3/2} \quad \text{where} \quad \epsilon \equiv \frac{m_{3/2}}{M_P} \simeq \frac{W_0}{\mathcal{V}} \simeq e^{-\frac{2\pi}{N g_s}} \ll 1$$

1. NO gravitino problem
2. CMP if  $m_{3/2} \simeq \mathcal{O}(M_{\text{soft}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ TeV} \Rightarrow m_\phi \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ MeV}$

● Way-out: focus on **sequestered models** [Blumenhagen et al]:

1. Visible sector in the singular regime (fractional D3-branes at singularities)

$$M_{\text{soft}} \simeq m_{3/2} \epsilon \ll m_\phi \simeq m_{3/2} \sqrt{\epsilon} \ll m_{3/2}$$

2. NO CMP for  $\epsilon \simeq 10^{-7}$   
⇒  $M_{\text{soft}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ TeV} \ll m_\phi \simeq \mathcal{O}(5 \cdot 10^6) \text{ GeV} \ll m_{3/2} \simeq \mathcal{O}(10^{11}) \text{ GeV}$
3. High string scale:  $M_s \simeq M_P \sqrt{\epsilon} \simeq \mathcal{O}(10^{15}) \text{ GeV}$   
⇒ good for GUTs and inflation

# Reheating

- Reheating driven by  $\phi$  decays when  $H \sim \Gamma_\phi = \frac{c}{2\pi} \frac{m_\phi^3}{M_P^2}$

$$T_{\text{rh}} = c^{1/2} \left( \frac{m_\phi}{5 \cdot 10^6 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{3/2} \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ GeV}$$

- Leading decay channels:

- **Higgses:**  $c_{\phi \rightarrow H_u H_d} = Z^2/12$  from GM term  $K \supset Z \frac{H_u H_d}{2\mathcal{V}^{2/3}}$

- **Bulk closed string axions:**  $c_{\phi \rightarrow a_b a_b} = 1/24$

- **Local closed string axions** (if not eaten by  $U(1)$ s):  $c_{\phi \rightarrow a_{\text{loc}} a_{\text{loc}}} = 9/384$

- Subleading decay channels:

- **Gauge bosons:**  $c_{\phi \rightarrow A^\mu A^\mu} = \lambda \frac{\alpha_{\text{vs}}^2}{8\pi} \ll 1$


- **Other visible sector fields:**  $c_{\phi \rightarrow \psi \psi} \simeq \left( \frac{M_{\text{soft}}}{m_\phi} \right)^2 \simeq \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \ll 1$

- **Local open string axions:**  $c_{\phi \rightarrow a_b \theta} \simeq \left( \frac{M_s}{M_P} \right)^4 \tau_{\text{sing}}^2 \simeq \left( \frac{\tau_{\text{sing}}}{\mathcal{V}} \right)^2 \ll 1$

# Predictions for dark radiation

Prediction for  $\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$  for  $n_H$  Higgs doublets and  $n_a$  local closed string axions:

$$\Delta N_{\text{eff}} = \frac{3.48}{n_H Z^2} \left( 1 + \frac{9n_a}{16} \right) \xrightarrow{n_a=0} \frac{3.48}{n_H Z^2}$$



# Axions in sequestered models

- In LVS  $\mathcal{V}$  fixed by perturbative effects  $\Rightarrow$  light  $a_b$  because of shift symmetry
- Open string axions eaten up by anomalous  $U(1)$ s on bulk cycles  
 $\Rightarrow$  light bulk closed string axions are a **model-independent** feature of LVS  
 $\Rightarrow$  dark radiation is a **model-independent** prediction of LVS!
- $\mathcal{O}(200)$  eV cosmic axion background + X-ray excess in galaxy cluster [Conlon, Marsh]
- Two options for QCD axion [MC, Goodsell, Ringwald]:
  - **Open string QCD axion**  $\theta$ :  $C = \rho e^{i\theta}$ 
    1. Subleading  $\phi$  decay to  $\theta \Rightarrow$  No DR overproduction
    2. D-terms:  $V_D \simeq g^2 (\rho^2 - \xi)^2 \Rightarrow f_a = \langle \rho \rangle = \sqrt{\xi} \simeq \sqrt{\langle \tau_{\text{sing}} \rangle} M_s$
    3. Subleading F-terms:  $\langle \tau_{\text{sing}} \rangle = 1/\mathcal{V} \ll 1$   
 $\Rightarrow f_a \simeq M_s / \sqrt{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(10^{11-12})$  GeV  $\Rightarrow$  No DM overproduction
  - **Closed string QCD axion**  $a_{\text{sing}}$ :  $T_{\text{sing}} = \tau_{\text{sing}} + i a_{\text{sing}}$ 
    1. All local closed string axions eaten up by anomalous  $U(1)$  in dP singularities
    2.  $a_{\text{sing}}$  could be left over for more complicated singularities
    3.  $f_{a_{\text{sing}}} \simeq M_s / \sqrt{4\pi} \simeq 10^{14}$  GeV
    4. Needs to be diluted by  $\phi$  decay or tune initial misalignment angle
    5.  $a_{\text{sing}}$  could give DR overproduction



# Non-thermal dark matter from $\phi$ decay

- Non-thermal DM produced from  $\phi$  decay [Allahverdi,MC,Dutta,Sinha]
- $\phi$  decay dilutes thermal DM by a factor of order  $(T_f/T_{\text{rh}})^3 \gtrsim 10^6$
- Parameter space larger than the one for thermal DM
- DM production from  $\phi$  decay:

$$\frac{n_{\text{DM}}}{s} = \min \left[ \left( \frac{n_{\text{DM}}}{s} \right)_{\text{obs}} \frac{\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_{\text{f}}^{\text{th}}}{\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_{\text{f}}} \left( \frac{T_{\text{f}}}{T_{\text{rh}}} \right), Y_{\phi} \text{Br}_{\phi \rightarrow \text{DM}} \right]$$

where:

- $\left( \frac{n_{\text{DM}}}{s} \right)_{\text{obs}} \simeq 5 \times 10^{-10} \left( \frac{1 \text{ GeV}}{m_{\text{DM}}} \right)$
- $\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_{\text{f}}^{\text{th}} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  is the thermal value
- $Y_{\phi} \equiv \frac{3T_{\text{rh}}}{4m_{\phi}} = \frac{0.9}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{c m_{\phi}}{M_P}}$
- $\text{Br}_{\phi \rightarrow \text{DM}}$  is the branching ratio for  $\phi$  decays into  $R$ -parity odd particles

# Non-thermal DM scenarios

- DM abundance:

$$\frac{n_{\text{DM}}}{s} = \min \left[ \left( \frac{n_{\text{DM}}}{s} \right)_{\text{obs}}, \frac{\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_f^{\text{th}}}{\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_f} \left( \frac{T_f}{T_{\text{rh}}} \right), Y_\phi \text{Br}_{\phi \rightarrow \text{DM}} \right]$$

- First term on RHS side  $\Rightarrow$  **Annihilation Scenario**

- DM produced from  $\phi$  decay undergo some annihilation
- Need  $\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_f = \langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_f^{\text{th}} (T_f/T_{\text{rh}})$
- Since  $T_{\text{rh}} < T_f$ , need  $\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_f > \langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_f^{\text{th}} \Rightarrow$  Wino/Higgsino DM

- Second term on RHS side  $\Rightarrow$  **Branching Scenario**

- DM annihilation is inefficient and DM is produced directly from  $\phi$  decay
- Need  $\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_f < \langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_f^{\text{th}} (T_f/T_{\text{rh}})$
- Always the case for  $\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_f < \langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_f^{\text{th}} \Rightarrow$  Bino DM
- Can also happen for  $\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_f > \langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_f^{\text{th}}$  if  $T_{\text{rh}}/T_f$  is too small  $\Rightarrow$  can accommodate also Wino/Higgsino DM

# Annihilation Scenario

- FERMI bounds from dwarf spheroidal galaxies [[Geringer-Sameth, Koushiappas](#)]:
  - For  $m_{\text{DM}} < 40 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_{\text{f}} < \langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle_{\text{f}}^{\text{th}} \Rightarrow$  No ‘annihilation scenario’
  - For  $m_{\text{DM}} > 40 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $T_{\text{f}}/30 \lesssim T_{\text{rh}} < T_{\text{f}} \Rightarrow T_{\text{rh}} \gtrsim 70 \text{ MeV}$
- $T_{\text{rh}} \simeq 0.8 Z \text{ GeV}$  for  $m_{\phi} \simeq 5 \times 10^6 \text{ GeV} \Leftrightarrow$  TeV-scale SUSY
- Two cases:
  1. QCD axion is an open string mode  $\theta$  with  $f_a \simeq 10^{11-12} \text{ GeV}$ 
    - Subleading  $\phi$  decays to  $\theta \Rightarrow$  No DR is produced
    - DR from  $\phi$  decays to bulk closed string axions  $\Rightarrow$  suppress  $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} \simeq 1.74/Z^2$
    - $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} \simeq 0.5 \Rightarrow Z \simeq 1.8 \Rightarrow T_{\text{rh}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ GeV}$
    - $T_{\text{rh}} > \Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \Rightarrow$  axion DM is not diluted
    - Multicomponent DM (Wino/Higgsino + open string axions)
  2. QCD axion is a local closed string mode  $a_{\text{loc}}$  with  $f_a \simeq 10^{14} \text{ GeV}$ 
    - $\phi \rightarrow a_{\text{loc}} a_{\text{loc}}$  is a leading decay channel  $\Rightarrow$  suppress  $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} \simeq 2.72/Z^2$
    - $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} \simeq 0.5 \Rightarrow Z \simeq \sqrt{5} \simeq 2.2 \Rightarrow T_{\text{rh}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ GeV}$
    - Axion DM is not diluted  $\Rightarrow$  tune initial misalignment angle
    - Multicomponent DM (Wino/Higgsino + closed string axions)

# Branching Scenario

- Low  $T_{\text{rh}}$  regime:  $3 \text{ MeV} \lesssim T_{\text{rh}} \lesssim 70 \text{ MeV}$
- Need very small  $\phi$  decay width
- $Z \simeq 2$  to avoid DR problems  $\Rightarrow T_{\text{rh}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ GeV}$
- Cannot lower  $T_{\text{rh}}$  if  $Z = 0$  from loop-suppressed  $\phi$  decays to gauge bosons
- Lower  $T_{\text{rh}}$  for smaller values of  $m_\phi \Rightarrow M_{\text{soft}} \ll \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ TeV}$
- No DR overproduction + TeV-scale SUSY forbid branching scenario
- Rule out models with Bino LSP  $\Rightarrow$  non-thermal DM overproduction
- Way-out: focus on cases where the LSP is unstable
- DM is QCD axion

# Conclusions

- Sequestered LVS models
- Superpartner spectrum in the TeV range
- High string scale  $M_s \simeq 10^{15}$  GeV  $\Rightarrow$  Good inflationary scenarios
- No CMP and no gravitino problem since  $m_{3/2} \simeq 10^{11}$  GeV  $\gg m_\phi \simeq 5 \times 10^6$  GeV
- Reheating driven from  $\phi$  decay with  $T_{\text{rh}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$  GeV
- Generic dark radiation production from  $\phi$  decay to light bulk closed string axions
- Non-thermal DM from  $\phi$  decay which increases DM parameter space
- ‘Annihilation scenario’ with multicomponent DM: Wino/Higgsino + QCD axion
- Two options for QCD axion:
  - Open string QCD axion with  $f_a \simeq 10^{11-12}$  GeV  
 $\Rightarrow$  No extra DR contribution + no DM overproduction
  - Closed string QCD axion with  $f_a \simeq 10^{14}$  GeV  
 $\Rightarrow$  Extra DR contribution + tune initial misalignment angle
- No ‘Branching scenario’ with  $T_{\text{rh}} \simeq 10$  MeV due to DR + TeV-scale SUSY constraints  
 $\Rightarrow$  rule out models with stable Bino-like LSP