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## Introduction \& Motivation

- Additional strong sector $\rightarrow$ Higgs as resonance
- Why is the Higgs boson lighter than the other resonances?
- Higgs mass: Generated at loop level by explicit breaking of $G$ through interactions of SM states with strong sector $\Rightarrow$ Higgs mass is related to masses of other resonances

SM fermion masses are generated through linear mixing with partners of strong sector, e.g.


## Introduction \& Motivation

- Additional strong sector $\rightarrow$ Higgs as resonance
- Why is the Higgs boson lighter than the other resonances?

Higgs is a PGB from a global symmetry $G$ with

$$
G \xrightarrow{\text { at scale } f} H \supset S U(2)_{L} \times S U(2)_{R}
$$

Minimal models:

$$
S O(5) \times U(1)_{X} \rightarrow S O(4) \times U(1)_{X}
$$

[Agashe, Contino, Pomarol; Contino, Da Rold, Pomarol]

- Higgs mass: Generated at loop level by explicit breaking of $G$ through interactions of SM states with strong sector $\Rightarrow$ Higgs mass is related to masses of other resonances
- Partial compositeness:

SM fermion masses are generated through linear mixing with parthers of strong sector, e.g.:

$$
\Delta \mathcal{L}=\lambda_{L} \bar{q}_{L} Q_{L}+\lambda_{R} \bar{T}_{R} t_{R}
$$

## Introduction \& Motivation

- Additional strong sector $\rightarrow$ Higgs as resonance
- Why is the Higgs boson lighter than the other resonances?

Higgs is a PGB from a global symmetry $G$ with

$$
G \xrightarrow{\text { at scale } f} H \supset S U(2)_{L} \times S U(2)_{R}
$$

Minimal models:

$$
S O(5) \times U(1)_{X} \rightarrow S O(4) \times U(1)_{X}
$$

[Agashe, Contino, Pomarol;
Contino, Da Rold, Pomarol]

- Higgs mass: Generated at loop level by explicit breaking of $G$ through interactions of SM states with strong sector $\Rightarrow$ Higgs mass is related to masses of other resonances

$$
\text { Light Higgs } \Leftrightarrow \text { Light fermionic resonances }
$$

[Matsedonskyi, Panico, Wulzer; Redi, Tesi; Marzocca, Serone, Shu; Pomarol, Riva]

SM fermion masses are generated through linear mixing with partners of strong sector, e.g.

## Introduction \& Motivation

- Additional strong sector $\rightarrow$ Higgs as resonance
- Why is the Higgs boson lighter than the other resonances?

Higgs is a PGB from a global symmetry $G$ with

$$
G \xrightarrow{\text { at scale } f} H \supset S U(2)_{L} \times S U(2)_{R}
$$

Minimal models:

$$
S O(5) \times U(1)_{X} \rightarrow S O(4) \times U(1)_{X} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
\text { [Agashe, Contino, Pomarol; } \\
\text { Contino, Da Rold, Pomarol] }
\end{array}
$$

- Higgs mass: Generated at loop level by explicit breaking of $G$ through interactions of SM states with strong sector $\Rightarrow$ Higgs mass is related to masses of other resonances

Light Higgs $\Leftrightarrow$ Light fermionic resonances
[Matsedonskyi, Panico, Wulzer; Redi, Tesi; Marzocca, Serone, Shu; Pomarol, Riva]

- Partial compositeness:

SM fermion masses are generated through linear mixing with partners of strong sector, e.g.:

$$
\Delta \mathcal{L}=\lambda_{L} \bar{q}_{L} Q_{L}+\lambda_{R} \bar{T}_{R} t_{R}
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## Motivation- Top Partners

## EWPT:

Models with new vector-like fermions in full representations (fundamental) of $S O(5)$ can be compatible with EWPT [Gillioz; Anastasiou, Furlan, Santiago; Lodone; ;..]

## Higgs production:

Effects of top-partners can be described by low-energy theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{h g g}= & \frac{g_{s}^{2}}{192 \pi^{2}} G^{\mu \nu} G_{\mu \nu} \frac{h}{v} \times \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \log H} \log \operatorname{det} \underbrace{\mathcal{M}_{t}^{2}}_{\text {top }}(H) \\
= & \frac{g_{s}^{2}}{192 \pi^{2}} G^{\mu \nu} G_{\mu \nu} \frac{h}{v} \frac{1-2 \xi}{\sqrt{1-\xi}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Depends only on $\xi=v^{2} / f^{2}$ ! Not on details of spectrum! $[$ Falkowski; Low, Vichi; Azatov, Galloway; Gillioz, RG, Grojean, Mühlleitner, Salvioni]

## What effects do bottom partners have on electroweak precision tests and Higgs results?

## A "simple" model - New fermions

Antisymmetric representation ((10) under SO(5)):
Simplest single representation, which can give a mass to both top and bottom quark.
Decomposition under $S U(2)_{L} \times S U(2)_{R}$

$$
(10)=(3,1)+(1,3)+(2,2)
$$

- $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})=\left(\begin{array}{l}\chi \\ u \\ d\end{array}\right)$
- $(\mathbf{1 , 3})=\left(\begin{array}{lll}\chi_{1} & u_{1} & d_{1}\end{array}\right)$
$d_{1} / u_{1}$ mixes with $b_{R} / t_{R}$
- $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\chi_{4} & T_{4} \\ t_{4} & d_{4}\end{array}\right)$
$\left(T_{4}, d_{4}\right)$ mixes with $\left(t_{L}, b_{L}\right)$
$\chi_{i}$ has charge $5 / 3$
$u, u_{1}, t_{4}, T_{4}$ have charge $2 / 3$
$d, d_{1}, d_{4}$ has charge $-1 / 3$


## A "simple" model - Lagrangian

Lagrangian:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathcal{L}_{\text {ferm }}= & i \operatorname{Tr}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{R} \not \mathcal{Q}_{R}\right)+i \operatorname{Tr}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{L} \not \mathcal{Q}_{L}\right)+i \bar{q}_{L} \not q_{L}+i \bar{b}_{R} \not D b_{R} \\
& +i \bar{t}_{R} \not t_{R}-M_{10} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{R} \mathcal{Q}_{L}\right)-y f\left(\Sigma^{\dagger} \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{R} \mathcal{Q}_{L} \Sigma\right) \\
& -\lambda_{t} \bar{t}_{R} u_{1 L}-\lambda_{b} \bar{b}_{R} d_{1 L}-\lambda_{q}\left(\bar{T}_{4 R}, \bar{d}_{4 R}\right) q_{L}+\text { h.c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathcal{Q}=$ ten-plet of new vector-like fermions
Goldstone field (in unitary gauge):

$$
\Sigma=(0,0,0, \sin (H / f), \cos (H / f))
$$

Parameters:
$\xi=v^{2} / f^{2}, y, M_{10}$ and $\sin \phi_{L}\left(\right.$ with $\left.\tan \phi_{L}=\lambda_{q} /\left(M_{10}+f y / 2\right)\right)$
$\lambda_{t} / \lambda_{b}$ fixed by requirement that an entry after diagonalization of the mass matrices is $m_{\text {top }} / m_{\text {bot }}$

## Electroweak precision tests

LEP: Measurement of resonant production of $Z$ boson with high precision
$\rightarrow$ New physics models have to fulfill constraints

Parametrisation with $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{3}$ and $\epsilon_{b}$ :
(or equivalently $S, T, U_{\text {[Peskin, Takeuchi] }}$ and $\delta g_{Z \rightarrow b_{L} \bar{b}_{L}}$ )
[Altarelli, Barbieri,
Caravaglios, Jadach]

- $\epsilon_{1}$ (or $\left.T\right)$ :

Divergent contribution due to modified Higgs couplings to vector bosons:

$$
\Delta \epsilon_{1}^{I R}=-\frac{3 \alpha\left(m_{Z}^{2}\right)}{16 \pi \sin ^{2} \theta_{W}} \xi \log \left(\frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{m_{Z}^{2}}\right) .
$$

[Barbieri, Bellazzini, Rychkov, Varagnolo]

Cut-off by mass of first vector resonance $m_{\rho}$.
Contributions from new fermions in loop.

- $\epsilon_{3}$ (or S):

Divergent contribution due to modified Higgs couplings:

$$
\Delta \epsilon_{3}^{I R}=\frac{\alpha\left(m_{Z}^{2}\right)}{48 \pi \sin ^{2} \theta_{w}} \xi \log \left(\frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{m_{z}^{2}}\right)
$$

[Lavoura, Silva;
Anastasiou, Furlan, Santiago; Agashe, Contino; Gillioz]
[Barbieri, Bellazzini, Rychkov, Varagnolo]

Mixing with vector resonance $\rho$ or axial vector resonance a:

$$
\Delta \epsilon_{3}^{U V}=\frac{m_{W}^{2}}{m_{\rho}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)
$$

[Contino]

## The constraint on $\epsilon_{b}$

Previous works: No mixing of bottom quark [e.g: Anastasiou, Furlan, Santiago]





NEW: Full mixing of bottom quark with partners!
New counterterms for the renormalization necessary.

## The constraint on $\epsilon_{b}$

## Bare Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L}_{Z \bar{b}_{L} b_{L}}=-\frac{e}{s_{W} C_{W}} \bar{b}_{L, i}^{0} \gamma_{\mu} U_{i j}^{0 L}\left(T_{3, L}-2 s_{W}^{2} Q\right)_{j j} U_{j k}^{0 L \dagger} b_{L, k}^{0} z^{\mu} .
$$

- Renormalization of bare field:

$$
b_{L, i}^{0} \rightarrow\left(\delta_{i j}+\frac{1}{2} \delta z_{i j}\right) b_{L, j}
$$

- Renormalization of mixing matrix:

$$
U_{i j}^{0} \rightarrow\left(\delta_{i k}+\delta u_{i k}\right) U_{k j}
$$

The counterterm is defined anti-hermitian to ensure unitarity [Denner, Sack; Yamada; Gambino,
Grassi, Madricardo; ...]

$$
\delta u_{b o t, i j}^{L}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\delta Z_{i j}^{L}-\delta Z_{i j}^{L \dagger}\right)
$$



## Results on EWPTs

- $\delta g_{B S M}-\delta g_{S M}$ finite if mixing matrix renormalization included
- Our results can easily be applied to other models
- Scan over

$$
0 \leq \xi \leq 1, \quad 0<\sin \phi_{L} \leq 1, \quad|y|<4 \pi, \quad 0 \leq M_{10} \leq 10 \mathrm{TeV}
$$

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1,2,3, b}\left(\epsilon_{i}^{\text {th }}-\epsilon_{i}^{e x p}\right) C_{i j}^{-1}\left(\epsilon_{j}^{\text {th }}-\epsilon_{j}^{e x p}\right) \quad \chi^{2}-\chi_{\text {min }}^{2}<13.28
$$

- Additional constraint: $\left|V_{t b}\right|>0.92$ [CMS collaboration]

- Bottom partner can contribute up to $\approx 55 \%$ to $\Delta \chi^{2}$
- Higgs contributions are small: $\lesssim 3 \%$


## Higgs results

The gluon fusion cxn cannot be described by LET anymore, because $m_{b} \ll m_{h}$ :

$$
\mathcal{L}_{h g g}=\frac{g_{s}^{2}}{192 \pi^{2}} G^{\mu \nu} G_{\mu \nu} \frac{h}{v}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \log H} \log \operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}^{2}(H)-\sum_{m_{i}<m_{h}} \frac{y_{i i}}{M_{i}}\right)
$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ dependence on spectrum [Azatov, Galloway]
Procedure:

- Heavy quark loops for $g g \rightarrow h$ implemented in HIGLU (at NLO QCD)
- Total production cross section
- Higgs decays including loops of vector-like fermions implemented in HDECAY [Spira]
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$\rightsquigarrow$ dependence on spectrum [Azatov, Galloway]

## Procedure:

- Heavy quark loops for $g g \rightarrow h$ implemented in HIGLU (at NLO QCD) [Spira]
- Total production cross section

$$
\sigma_{\text {prod }}=\sigma_{g g \rightarrow H}+\sigma_{H q \bar{q}}^{S M}(1-\xi)+\sigma_{W H / Z H}^{S M}(1-\xi)+\sigma_{t \bar{t} H}^{S M}\left(g_{h t \bar{t}} / g_{h t \bar{t}}^{S M}\right)^{2}
$$

- Higgs decays including loops of vector-like fermions implemented in HDECAY [Spira]


## Higgs results

- Scan over parameter space
$0 \leq \xi \leq 1$,
$0<\sin \phi_{L} \leq 1$,
$|y|<4 \pi$,
$0 \leq M_{10} \leq 10 \mathrm{TeV}$.
- Point rejected if excluded by direct searches for new fermions analogously to: [Gillioz, RG,

Grojean, Mühlleitner, Salvioni]

- Global $\chi^{2}$ :

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i} \frac{\left(\mu_{i}^{e x p}-\mu_{i}^{\text {theo }}\right)^{2}}{\left(\Delta \mu_{i}^{\text {exp }}\right)^{2}+\left(\Delta \mu_{i}^{\text {theo }}\right)^{2}}+\chi_{E W P T}^{2}+\frac{\left(\left|V_{t b}^{\text {exp }}\right|-\left|V_{t b}^{\text {theo }}\right|\right)^{2}}{\left(\Delta V_{t b}\right)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\mu_{i}=\frac{\sigma_{\text {prod }} B R(h \rightarrow i i)}{\sigma_{\text {prod }}^{S M} B R^{S M}(h \rightarrow i i)}
$$

Higgs Results: ATLAS - Moriond 2013





Higgs Results: CMS - Moriond 2013


## Conclusion

- We investigated the effects of new vector-like fermionic bottom partners in the framework of partial compositeness
- Mixing of bottom quark makes mixing matrix renormalization for EWPTs necessary
- Bottom partners can directly influence EWPTs through loop contributions
- Bottom partners lead to a dependence of Higgs cross sections on spectrum
- Simple model can pass EWPTs, direct searches of new fermions, constraint on $V_{t b}$ and current Higgs results


## Thanks for your attention!

## Mass matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{L}_{m_{t}} & =\left(\begin{array}{c}
t_{L} \\
u_{L} \\
u_{1 L} \\
t_{4 L} \\
T_{4 L}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_{q} \\
0 & \tilde{m}_{a} & -\frac{1}{4} f y s_{H}^{2} & -\frac{1}{4} f y c_{H} s_{H} & -\frac{1}{4} f y c_{H} s_{H} \\
\lambda_{t} & -\frac{1}{4} f y s_{H}^{2} & \tilde{m}_{a} & \frac{1}{4} f y c_{H} s_{H} & \frac{1}{4} f y c_{H} s_{H} \\
0 & -\frac{1}{4} f y c_{H} s_{H} & \frac{1}{4} f y c_{H} s_{H} & \tilde{m}_{b} & -\frac{1}{4} f y s_{H}^{2} \\
0 & -\frac{1}{4} f y c_{H} s_{H} & \frac{1}{4} f y c_{H} s_{H} & -\frac{1}{4} f y s_{H}^{2} & \tilde{m}_{b}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
t_{R} \\
u_{R} \\
u_{1 R} \\
t_{4 R} \\
T_{4 R}
\end{array}\right)+\text { h.c. } \\
& -\mathcal{L}_{m_{b}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
b_{L} \\
d_{L} \\
d_{1 L} \\
d_{4 L}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_{q} \\
0 & \tilde{m}_{a} & -\frac{1}{4} f y s_{H}^{2} & f y \frac{c_{H} s_{H}}{2 \sqrt{2}} \\
\lambda_{b} & -\frac{1}{4} f y s_{H}^{2} & \tilde{m}_{a} & -f y \frac{c_{H} s_{H}}{2 \sqrt{2}} \\
0 & f y \frac{c_{H} s_{H}}{2 \sqrt{2}} & -f y \frac{c_{H} s_{H}}{2 \sqrt{2}} & \tilde{m}_{c}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
b_{R} \\
d_{R} \\
d_{1 R} \\
d_{4 R}
\end{array}\right)+\text { h.c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\tilde{m}_{a}=\frac{1}{4} f y s_{H}^{2}+M_{10}, \quad \quad \tilde{m}_{b}=\frac{1}{2} f y\left(1-\frac{1}{2} s_{H}^{2}\right)+M_{10} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{m}_{c}=\frac{1}{2} f y c_{H}^{2}+M_{10}
$$

## Approximative formulae for masses

Rotation for $v=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{q_{L}}{Q_{L}} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \phi_{L} & \sin \phi_{L} \\
-\sin \phi_{L} & \cos \phi_{L}
\end{array}\right)\binom{q_{L}}{Q_{L}} & \tan \phi_{L}=\lambda_{q} /\left(M_{10}+f y / 2\right), \\
\binom{t_{R}}{u_{1 R}} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \phi_{R t} & \sin \phi_{R t} \\
-\sin \phi_{R t} & \cos \phi_{R t}
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{R}}{u_{1 R}} & \tan \phi_{R t}=\lambda_{t} / M_{10}, \\
\binom{b_{R}}{d_{1 R}} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \phi_{R b} & \sin \phi_{R b} \\
-\sin \phi_{R b} & \cos \phi_{R b}
\end{array}\right)\binom{b_{R}}{d_{1 R}} & \tan \phi_{R b}=\lambda_{b} / M_{10},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $Q_{L}=\left(T_{4 L}, d_{4 L}\right)$.
Masses of the new fermions:
$\underbrace{M_{10}, \frac{M_{10}}{\cos \phi_{R, t}}, M_{10}+\frac{f y}{2}, \frac{M_{10}+\frac{f y}{2}}{\cos \phi_{L}}}_{\text {tops }}, \underbrace{M_{10}, \frac{M_{10}}{\cos \phi_{R, b}}, \frac{M_{10}+\frac{f y}{2}}{\cos \phi_{L}}}_{\text {bottoms }}, \underbrace{M_{10}, M_{10}, M_{10}+\frac{f y}{2}}_{\chi^{\prime} s}$.
At LO in $v / f$ top and bottom quark are mass

$$
m_{t o p}=\frac{y v}{4} \sin \phi_{L} \sin \phi_{R t}, \quad m_{b o t}=\frac{y v}{2 \sqrt{2}} \sin \phi_{L} \sin \phi_{R b} .
$$

## More results on EWPT



## Experimental Higgs Results




## Comparison with SM




## Light Higgs - Light Resonance

For a light Higgs boson light top partners are needed.
Approximative formula:

$$
m_{Q} \leq \frac{m_{h} \pi v}{m_{t} \sqrt{N_{c}} \sqrt{\xi}}
$$

Best fit points

| Experiment | $\xi$ | $\chi^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATLAS | 0.096 | 8.83 |
| CMS | 0.073 | 4.55 |$\rightarrow$| Experiment | $\xi$ | $\chi^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATLAS | 0.067 | 10.07 |
| CMS | 0.066 | 5.30 |

